



Sharp upper bounds on hitting probabilities for the solution to the stochastic heat equation on the line

Robert C. Dalang

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

Based on joint work with:

Fei Pu (Beijing) & David Nualart (Barcelona)

- Introduction to the problems of hitting probabilities
- State of the art for Gaussian random fields
- Typical results and methods for non-linear systems of s.p.d.e.'s
- Sharp upper (and lower) bounds for the non-linear random string

Hitting probabilities and polarity of points for random fields

Let $U = (U(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous stochastic process.

Fix $I \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, compact with positive Lebesgue measure.

The **range of U** over I is the random compact set

$$U(I) = \{U(x), x \in I\}.$$

Question. (**Hitting probabilities**) For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, what are bounds on the probability that U hits A , that is,

$$\mathbb{P}\{U(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}?$$

Related question. (**Polarity of points**) Fix $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Does U fail to hit z , that is, do we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists x \in I : U(x) = z\} = 0?$$

Polarity. If $\mathbb{P}\{\exists x \in I : U(x) = z\} = 0$, then z is **polar** for U .

Typically, there is a **critical value** $Q(k)$ such that:

- if $d < Q(k)$, then points **are not** polar
- if $d > Q(k)$, then points **are** polar
- at the critical value $d = Q(k)$: ???

Hitting probabilities and polarity of points for random fields

Let $U = (U(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous stochastic process.

Fix $I \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, compact with positive Lebesgue measure.

The **range of U** over I is the random compact set

$$U(I) = \{U(x), x \in I\}.$$

Question. (**Hitting probabilities**) For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, what are bounds on the probability that U hits A , that is,

$$\mathbb{P}\{U(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}?$$

Related question. (**Polarity of points**) Fix $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Does U fail to hit z , that is, do we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists x \in I : U(x) = z\} = 0?$$

Polarity. If $\mathbb{P}\{\exists x \in I : U(x) = z\} = 0$, then z is **polar** for U .

Typically, there is a **critical value** $Q(k)$ such that:

- if $d < Q(k)$, then points **are not** polar
- if $d > Q(k)$, then points **are** polar
- at the critical value $d = Q(k)$: ???

Hitting probabilities and polarity of points for random fields

Let $U = (U(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous stochastic process.

Fix $I \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, compact with positive Lebesgue measure.

The **range of U** over I is the random compact set

$$U(I) = \{U(x), x \in I\}.$$

Question. (**Hitting probabilities**) For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, what are bounds on the probability that U hits A , that is,

$$\mathbb{P}\{U(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}?$$

Related question. (**Polarity of points**) Fix $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Does U fail to hit z , that is, do we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{\exists x \in I : U(x) = z\} = 0?$$

Polarity. If $\mathbb{P}\{\exists x \in I : U(x) = z\} = 0$, then z is **polar** for U .

Typically, there is a **critical value** $Q(k)$ such that:

- if $d < Q(k)$, then points **are not** polar
- if $d > Q(k)$, then points **are** polar
- at the critical value $d = Q(k)$: ???

First example: the Brownian sheet

Let $(W(x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k)$ denote an k -parameter \mathbb{R}^d -valued **Brownian sheet**, that is, a centered continuous Gaussian random field

$$W(x) = (W_1(x), \dots, W_d(x))$$

with covariance

$$E[W_i(x)W_j(y)] = \delta_{i,j} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \min(x_\ell, y_\ell), \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$.

Theorem 1 (Khoshnevisan and Shi, 1999)

Fix $M > 0$. Let I be a box. There exists $0 < C < \infty$ such that for all compact sets $A \subset B(0, M) (\subset \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\frac{1}{C} \text{Cap}_{d-2k}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{W(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \text{Cap}_{d-2k}(A).$$

Example. $A = \{z\}$.

$$\text{Cap}_{d-2k}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d < 2k, \\ 0 & \text{if } d \geq 2k, \end{cases}$$

so points are polar in the critical dimension $d = 2k$.

First example: the Brownian sheet

Let $(W(x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k)$ denote an k -parameter \mathbb{R}^d -valued **Brownian sheet**, that is, a centered continuous Gaussian random field

$$W(x) = (W_1(x), \dots, W_d(x))$$

with covariance

$$E[W_i(x)W_j(y)] = \delta_{i,j} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \min(x_\ell, y_\ell), \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$.

Theorem 1 (Khoshnevisan and Shi, 1999)

Fix $M > 0$. Let I be a box. There exists $0 < C < \infty$ such that for all compact sets $A \subset B(0, M) (\subset \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\frac{1}{C} \text{Cap}_{d-2k}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{W(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \text{Cap}_{d-2k}(A).$$

Example. $A = \{z\}$.

$$\text{Cap}_{d-2k}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d < 2k, \\ 0 & \text{if } d \geq 2k, \end{cases}$$

so points are polar in the critical dimension $d = 2k$.

First example: the Brownian sheet

Let $(W(x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+^k)$ denote an k -parameter \mathbb{R}^d -valued **Brownian sheet**, that is, a centered continuous Gaussian random field

$$W(x) = (W_1(x), \dots, W_d(x))$$

with covariance

$$E[W_i(x)W_j(y)] = \delta_{i,j} \prod_{\ell=1}^k \min(x_\ell, y_\ell), \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_k)$.

Theorem 1 (Khoshnevisan and Shi, 1999)

Fix $M > 0$. Let I be a box. There exists $0 < C < \infty$ such that for all compact sets $A \subset B(0, M) (\subset \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\frac{1}{C} \text{Cap}_{d-2k}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{W(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \text{Cap}_{d-2k}(A).$$

Example. $A = \{z\}$.

$$\text{Cap}_{d-2k}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d < 2k, \\ 0 & \text{if } d \geq 2k, \end{cases}$$

so **points are polar in the critical dimension $d = 2k$.**

Anisotropic Gaussian random fields (Biermé, Lacaux & Xiao, 2007)

Let $(V(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^k)$ be centered continuous Gaussian, values in \mathbb{R}^d , i.i.d. components: $V(x) = (V_1(x), \dots, V_d(x))$. Let I be a box. Assume:

(C) There exists $0 < c < \infty$ and $H_1, \dots, H_k \in]0, 1[$ such that for all $x, y \in I$,

$$c^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell} \leq \Delta(x, y) := \|V_1(x) - V_1(y)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell}$$

and some non-degeneracy assumptions.

Theorem 2 (Biermé, Lacaux & Xiao, 2007)

Fix $M > 0$. Set $Q = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}$. Then there is $0 < C < \infty$ such that for every compact set $A \subset B(0, M)$,

$$C^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-Q}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{V(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-Q}(A).$$

Example. The Brownian sheet. Theorem 2 is close to Theorem 1: for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, $x_\ell \mapsto W(x_1, \dots, x_\ell, \dots, x_k)$ is a Brownian motion, so $H_\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$Q = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell} = 2k$$

Anisotropic Gaussian random fields (Biermé, Lacaux & Xiao, 2007)

Let $(V(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^k)$ be centered continuous Gaussian, values in \mathbb{R}^d , i.i.d. components: $V(x) = (V_1(x), \dots, V_d(x))$. Let I be a box. Assume:

(C) There exists $0 < c < \infty$ and $H_1, \dots, H_k \in]0, 1[$ such that for all $x, y \in I$,

$$c^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell} \leq \Delta(x, y) := \|V_1(x) - V_1(y)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell}$$

and some non-degeneracy assumptions.

Theorem 2 (Biermé, Lacaux & Xiao, 2007)

Fix $M > 0$. Set $Q = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}$. Then there is $0 < C < \infty$ such that for every compact set $A \subset B(0, M)$,

$$C^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-Q}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{V(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-Q}(A).$$

Example. The Brownian sheet. Theorem 2 is close to Theorem 1: for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, $x_\ell \mapsto W(x_1, \dots, x_\ell, \dots, x_k)$ is a Brownian motion, so $H_\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$Q = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell} = 2k$$

Anisotropic Gaussian random fields (Biermé, Lacaux & Xiao, 2007)

Let $(V(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^k)$ be centered continuous Gaussian, values in \mathbb{R}^d , i.i.d. components: $V(x) = (V_1(x), \dots, V_d(x))$. Let I be a box. Assume:

(C) There exists $0 < c < \infty$ and $H_1, \dots, H_k \in]0, 1[$ such that for all $x, y \in I$,

$$c^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell} \leq \Delta(x, y) := \|V_1(x) - V_1(y)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell}$$

and some non-degeneracy assumptions.

Theorem 2 (Biermé, Lacaux & Xiao, 2007)

Fix $M > 0$. Set $Q = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}$. Then there is $0 < C < \infty$ such that for every compact set $A \subset B(0, M)$,

$$C^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-Q}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{V(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-Q}(A).$$

Example. The Brownian sheet. Theorem 2 is close to Theorem 1: for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, $x_\ell \mapsto W(x_1, \dots, x_\ell, \dots, x_k)$ is a Brownian motion, so $H_\ell = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$Q = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell} = 2k$$

Main difference between Theorems 2 and 1

In both theorems, the “dimension” that appears is $d - Q = d - 2k$;

For the Brownian sheet, both theorems identify the critical dimension $d = 2k$.

But compare the right-hand sides:

in Theorem 2, Hausdorff measure.

in Theorem 1, capacity.

Case where $A = \{z\}$:

$$\text{Cap}_{d-Q}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d < Q, \\ 0 & \text{if } d = Q, \\ 0 & \text{if } d > Q, \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{H}_{d-Q}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } d < Q, \\ 1 & \text{if } d = Q, \\ 0 & \text{if } d > Q. \end{cases}$$

If $d = Q$, Theorem 2 says: $0 \leq \mathbb{P}\{\exists x \in I : V(x) = z\} \leq 1$ (not informative)!

Remark. Theorem 2 is not enough to decide the issue of polarity of points in the critical dimension.

Main difference between Theorems 2 and 1

In both theorems, the “dimension” that appears is $d - Q = d - 2k$;

For the Brownian sheet, both theorems identify the critical dimension $d = 2k$.

But compare the right-hand sides:

in Theorem 2, Hausdorff measure.

in Theorem 1, capacity.

Case where $A = \{z\}$:

$$\text{Cap}_{d-Q}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d < Q, \\ 0 & \text{if } d = Q, \\ 0 & \text{if } d > Q, \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{H}_{d-Q}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } d < Q, \\ 1 & \text{if } d = Q, \\ 0 & \text{if } d > Q. \end{cases}$$

If $d = Q$, Theorem 2 says: $0 \leq \mathbb{P}\{\exists x \in I : V(x) = z\} \leq 1$ (not informative)!

Remark. Theorem 2 is not enough to decide the issue of polarity of points in the critical dimension.

Funaki's random string

Let $(V(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued random field such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} V(t, x) + \dot{W}(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0,$$

$V(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given, $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is \mathbb{R}^d -valued space-time white noise (Gaussian).

Theorem 3 (Mueller & Tribe, 2002)

The critical dimension for hitting points is $d = 6$ and points are polar in this dimension.

(Also treat the issue of double points for this random field)

Theorem 4 (D., Khoshnevisan & E. Nualart, 2007)

Fix $M > 0$. There is $0 < C < \infty$ such that for every compact set $A \subset B(0, M)$,

$$C^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-6}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{V(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-6}(A).$$

$$\left(Q = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = 6 \right)$$

Funaki's random string

Let $(V(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued random field such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} V(t, x) + \dot{W}(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t > 0,$$

$V(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given, $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is \mathbb{R}^d -valued space-time white noise (Gaussian).

Theorem 3 (Mueller & Tribe, 2002)

The critical dimension for hitting points is $d = 6$ and points are polar in this dimension.

(Also treat the issue of double points for this random field)

Theorem 4 (D., Khoshnevisan & E. Nualart, 2007)

Fix $M > 0$. There is $0 < C < \infty$ such that for every compact set $A \subset B(0, M)$,

$$C^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-6}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{V(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-6}(A).$$

$$\left(Q = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = 6 \right)$$

Funaki's random string

Let $(V(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued random field such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} V(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} V(t, x) + \dot{W}(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0,$$

$V(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given, $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is \mathbb{R}^d -valued space-time white noise (Gaussian).

Theorem 3 (Mueller & Tribe, 2002)

The critical dimension for hitting points is $d = 6$ and points are polar in this dimension.

(Also treat the issue of double points for this random field)

Theorem 4 (D., Khoshnevisan & E. Nualart, 2007)

Fix $M > 0$. There is $0 < C < \infty$ such that for every compact set $A \subset B(0, M)$,

$$C^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-6}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{V(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-6}(A).$$

$$\left(Q = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = 6 \right)$$

Systems of nonlinear wave equations in spatial dimension 1

Let $(U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued random field such that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} U(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U(t, x) + \sigma(U(t, x)) \dot{W}(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0,$$

$U(0, \cdot), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given,

$\dot{W}(t, x)$ is \mathbb{R}^d -valued space-time white noise, $v \mapsto \sigma(v)$ smooth (Lipschitz).

Theorem 5 (D. & E. Nualart, 2004)

$$\frac{1}{C} \text{Cap}_{d-4}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \text{Cap}_{d-4}(A).$$

$$\left(Q = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 4 \right)$$

The critical dimension for hitting points is $d = 4$ and points are polar in this dimension.

The proof uses Malliavin calculus (lower bound) and Cairoli's maximal inequality for multi-parameter martingales (upper bound).

Systems of nonlinear wave equations in spatial dimension 1

Let $(U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued random field such that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} U(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U(t, x) + \sigma(U(t, x)) \dot{W}(t, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0,$$

$U(0, \cdot), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given,

$\dot{W}(t, x)$ is \mathbb{R}^d -valued space-time white noise, $v \mapsto \sigma(v)$ smooth (Lipschitz).

Theorem 5 (D. & E. Nualart, 2004)

$$\frac{1}{C} \text{Cap}_{d-4}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \text{Cap}_{d-4}(A).$$

$$\left(Q = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 4 \right)$$

The critical dimension for hitting points is $d = 4$ and points are polar in this dimension.

The proof uses Malliavin calculus (lower bound) and Cairoli's maximal inequality for multi-parameter martingales (upper bound).

Other non-linear systems of stochastic p.d.e.'s

Let L be a partial differential operator (e.g. $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta$ or $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta$).

Let $U(t, x) = (U^1(t, x), \dots, U^d(t, x)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} LU^1(t, x) &= b^1(U(t, x)) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{1,j}(U(t, x)) \dot{W}_j(t, x), \\ &\vdots \\ LU^d(t, x) &= b^d(U(t, x)) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{d,j}(U(t, x)) \dot{W}_j(t, x), \end{cases}$$

$$t \in]0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

smooth (Lipschitz) non-linearities: $b^i, \sigma_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$

Initial conditions: e.g. $U(0, x) = U_0(x)$ given.

$\dot{W}_j(t, x)$: independent space-time white noises, real-valued.

Other non-linear systems of stochastic p.d.e.'s

Let L be a partial differential operator (e.g. $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta$ or $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta$).

Let $U(t, x) = (U^1(t, x), \dots, U^d(t, x)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} LU^1(t, x) &= b^1(U(t, x)) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{1,j}(U(t, x)) \dot{W}_j(t, x), \\ &\vdots \\ LU^d(t, x) &= b^d(U(t, x)) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{d,j}(U(t, x)) \dot{W}_j(t, x), \end{cases}$$

$$t \in]0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

smooth (Lipschitz) non-linearities: $b^i, \sigma_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$

Initial conditions: e.g. $U(0, x) = U_0(x)$ given.

$\dot{W}_j(t, x)$: independent space-time white noises, real-valued.

Other non-linear systems of stochastic p.d.e.'s

Let L be a partial differential operator (e.g. $L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta$ or $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta$).

Let $U(t, x) = (U^1(t, x), \dots, U^d(t, x)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} LU^1(t, x) &= b^1(U(t, x)) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{1,j}(U(t, x)) \dot{W}_j(t, x), \\ &\vdots \\ LU^d(t, x) &= b^d(U(t, x)) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{d,j}(U(t, x)) \dot{W}_j(t, x), \end{cases}$$

$$t \in]0, T], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^k.$$

smooth (Lipschitz) non-linearities: $b^i, \sigma_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d$

Initial conditions: e.g. $U(0, x) = U_0(x)$ given.

$\dot{W}_j(t, x)$: independent space-time white noises, real-valued.

Other nonlinear systems of spde's

Suppose that we have optimal Hölder exponents for the solution:

$$c(p) \Delta(t, x; s, y) \leq \|U(t, x) - U(s, y)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(p) \Delta(t, x; s, y),$$

where

$$\Delta(t, x; s, y) = |t - s|^{H_0} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell}.$$

Define

$$Q = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}.$$

Typical result 1

Fix $\eta > 0$. Then

$$c_\eta \text{Cap}_{d-Q+\eta}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C_\eta \mathcal{H}_{d-Q-\eta}(A)$$

Remarks. (a) This is **similar to** the result of Biermé, Lacaux and Xiao (2007).

(b) In the critical dimension $d = Q$, this is not informative!

(c) There is an **additional parameter η** on the left- and right-hand sides: this is **less sharp** than in the Gaussian case.

Other nonlinear systems of spde's

Suppose that we have optimal Hölder exponents for the solution:

$$c(p) \Delta(t, x; s, y) \leq \|U(t, x) - U(s, y)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(p) \Delta(t, x; s, y),$$

where

$$\Delta(t, x; s, y) = |t - s|^{H_0} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell}.$$

Define

$$Q = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}.$$

Typical result 1

Fix $\eta > 0$. Then

$$c_\eta \text{Cap}_{d-Q+\eta}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C_\eta \mathcal{H}_{d-Q-\eta}(A)$$

Remarks. (a) This is **similar to** the result of Biermé, Lacaux and Xiao (2007).

(b) In the critical dimension $d = Q$, this is not informative!

(c) There is an **additional parameter η** on the left- and right-hand sides: this is **less sharp** than in the Gaussian case.

Other nonlinear systems of spde's

Suppose that we have optimal Hölder exponents for the solution:

$$c(p) \Delta(t, x; s, y) \leq \|U(t, x) - U(s, y)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(p) \Delta(t, x; s, y),$$

where

$$\Delta(t, x; s, y) = |t - s|^{H_0} + \sum_{\ell=1}^k |x_\ell - y_\ell|^{H_\ell}.$$

Define

$$Q = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}.$$

Typical result 1

Fix $\eta > 0$. Then

$$c_\eta \text{Cap}_{d-Q+\eta}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C_\eta \mathcal{H}_{d-Q-\eta}(A)$$

Remarks. (a) This is [similar to](#) the result of Biermé, Lacaux and Xiao (2007).

(b) In the critical dimension $d = Q$, this is not informative!

(c) There is an [additional parameter](#) η on the left- and right-hand sides: this is [less sharp](#) than in the Gaussian case.

The nonlinear system of stochastic heat equations

$(U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$: \mathbb{R}^d -valued random field such that for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U(t, x) + b(U(t, x)) + \sigma(U(t, x)) \cdot \dot{W}(t, x),$$

$U(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given, $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is \mathbb{R}^d -valued space-time white noise

$\sigma = (\sigma_{i,j}, i, j = 1, \dots, d) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{d \times d}$, $b = (b_i, i = 1, \dots, d) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

Assumption. The $\sigma_{i,j}$ and b_i are C^∞ , bounded, with bounded derivatives of all orders, and σ is uniformly elliptic.

Theorem 6 (D., Khoshnevisan & E. Nualart, 2009)

Fix $\eta > 0$, $M > 0$ and two non-trivial compact intervals I and J . There exists $c > 0$ such that for all compact sets $A \subseteq [-M, M]^d$,

$$c^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-6+\eta}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq c \mathcal{H}_{d-6-\eta}(A).$$

The nonlinear system of stochastic heat equations

$(U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$: \mathbb{R}^d -valued random field such that for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} U(t, x) + b(U(t, x)) + \sigma(U(t, x)) \cdot \dot{W}(t, x),$$

$U(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given, $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is \mathbb{R}^d -valued space-time white noise

$\sigma = (\sigma_{i,j}, i, j = 1, \dots, d) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{d \times d}$, $b = (b_i, i = 1, \dots, d) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$

Assumption. The $\sigma_{i,j}$ and b_i are C^∞ , bounded, with bounded derivatives of all orders, and σ is uniformly elliptic.

Theorem 6 (D., Khoshnevisan & E. Nualart, 2009)

Fix $\eta > 0$, $M > 0$ and two non-trivial compact intervals I and J . There exists $c > 0$ such that for all compact sets $A \subseteq [-M, M]^d$,

$$c^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-6+\eta}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq c \mathcal{H}_{d-6-\eta}(A).$$

Proving the upper bound

Let $U = (U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous random field.

Typical result 2

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In addition to knowing the Hölder exponents H_ℓ , assume that for any $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $U(t, x)$ has a **density** $p_{(t,x)}$, and

$$\sup_{z \in D^{(2)}} \sup_{(t,x) \in (I \times J)^{(1)}} p_{(t,x)}(z) \leq C \quad (1)$$

$(D^{(2)} \text{ is the 2-enlargement of } D.)$

Then for any $\eta > 0$, for every Borel set $A \subset D$,

$$P\{U(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-\eta-Q}(A).$$

Remarks. (a) Gaussian case: (1) becomes $\det \text{Cov}(U(t, x), U(t, x)) > 0$.

(b) Non-Gaussian case: Condition (1) can often be obtained by using **Malliavin calculus**.

(c) The main step in the proof (Gaussian and non-Gaussian cases) is a **covering argument**.

Proving the upper bound

Let $U = (U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous random field.

Typical result 2

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In addition to knowing the Hölder exponents H_ℓ , assume that for any $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $U(t, x)$ has a **density** $p_{(t,x)}$, and

$$\sup_{z \in D^{(2)}} \sup_{(t,x) \in (I \times J)^{(1)}} p_{(t,x)}(z) \leq C \quad (1)$$

$(D^{(2)} \text{ is the 2-enlargement of } D.)$

Then for any $\eta > 0$, for every Borel set $A \subset D$,

$$P \{U(I) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-\eta-Q}(A).$$

Remarks. (a) Gaussian case: (1) becomes $\det \text{Cov}(U(t, x), U(t, x)) > 0$.

(b) Non-Gaussian case: Condition (1) can often be obtained by using **Malliavin calculus**.

(c) The main step in the proof (Gaussian and non-Gaussian cases) is a **covering argument**.

Proving the lower bound

Lower bounds

Let $U = (U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous random field.

Typical result 3

In addition to knowing the Hölder exponents H_ℓ , assume that:

- the density of $U(t, x)$ is strictly positive
- the two-point density of $(U(s, y), U(t, x))$ satisfies the upper bound

$$p_{s,y;t,x}(z_1, z_2) \leq [\Delta(s, y; t, x)]^{-(d+\eta)} \exp \left[-\frac{\|z_1 - z_2\|^2}{c \Delta^2(s, y; t, x)} \right].$$

These two properties (obtained via *Malliavin calculus*) imply the *lower bound*

$$P\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \geq c \operatorname{Cap}_{d+\eta-Q}(A)$$

where $Q = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}$.

(optimal if $\eta = 0$)

Proving the lower bound

Lower bounds

Let $U = (U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous random field.

Typical result 3

In addition to knowing the Hölder exponents H_ℓ , assume that:

- the density of $U(t, x)$ is strictly positive
- the two-point density of $(U(s, y), U(t, x))$ satisfies the upper bound

$$p_{s,y;t,x}(z_1, z_2) \leq [\Delta(s, y; t, x)]^{-(d+\eta)} \exp \left[-\frac{\|z_1 - z_2\|^2}{c \Delta^2(s, y; t, x)} \right].$$

These two properties (obtained via *Malliavin calculus*) imply the lower bound

$$P\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \geq c \operatorname{Cap}_{d+\eta-Q}(A)$$

where $Q = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}$.

(optimal if $\eta = 0$)

Proving the lower bound

Lower bounds

Let $U = (U(t, x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ be an \mathbb{R}^d -valued continuous random field.

Typical result 3

In addition to knowing the Hölder exponents H_ℓ , assume that:

- the density of $U(t, x)$ is strictly positive
- the two-point density of $(U(s, y), U(t, x))$ satisfies the upper bound

$$p_{s,y;t,x}(z_1, z_2) \leq [\Delta(s, y; t, x)]^{-(d+\eta)} \exp \left[-\frac{\|z_1 - z_2\|^2}{c \Delta^2(s, y; t, x)} \right].$$

These two properties (obtained via *Malliavin calculus*) imply the *lower bound*

$$P\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \geq c \operatorname{Cap}_{d+\eta-Q}(A)$$

where $Q = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{1}{H_\ell}$.

(optimal if $\eta = 0$)

Improving the lower bound

Question. Is the extra $\eta > 0$ needed in the nonlinear case?

Theorem 7 (Fei Pu, Thesis, 2018; D. & Pu, 2021)

In Theorem 6 (nonlinear system of stochastic heat equations), it is possible to remove the η in the lower bound:

$$c^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-6}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The proof consists in refining the Malliavin calculus argument used for Theorem 6.

Improving the lower bound

Question. Is the extra $\eta > 0$ needed in the nonlinear case?

Theorem 7 (Fei Pu, Thesis, 2018; D. & Pu, 2021)

In Theorem 6 (nonlinear system of stochastic heat equations), it is possible to remove the η in the lower bound:

$$c^{-1} \text{Cap}_{d-6}(A) \leq \mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The proof consists in refining the Malliavin calculus argument used for Theorem 6.

Improving the upper bound

Proofs of upper bound use a **covering argument**:

$I \times J \subset (0, T] \times [-M, M]$. For $n, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$t_m^n := m 2^{-nH_1^{-1}}, \quad x_\ell^n := \ell 2^{-nH_\ell^{-1}},$$

and

$$I_m^n = [t_m^n, t_{m+1}^n], \quad J_\ell^n = [x_\ell^n, x_{\ell+1}^n], \quad R_{m,\ell}^n = I_m^n \times J_\ell^n.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, need a good estimate of

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \inf_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} |U(t,x) - z| \leq 2^{-n} \right\}.$$

Reverse triangle inequality: this is bounded above by

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ |U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n) - z| \leq 2^{-n} + \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} |U(t,x) - U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)| \right\}.$$

This can come from bounds on the joint probability density function of

$$(F_1, F_2) := \left(U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n), \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} (U(t,x) - U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)) \right)$$

Improving the upper bound

Proofs of upper bound use a **covering argument**:

$I \times J \subset (0, T] \times [-M, M]$. For $n, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$t_m^n := m 2^{-nH_1^{-1}}, \quad x_\ell^n := \ell 2^{-nH_\ell^{-1}},$$

and

$$I_m^n = [t_m^n, t_{m+1}^n], \quad J_\ell^n = [x_\ell^n, x_{\ell+1}^n], \quad R_{m,\ell}^n = I_m^n \times J_\ell^n.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, need a good estimate of

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \inf_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} |U(t,x) - z| \leq 2^{-n} \right\}.$$

Reverse triangle inequality: this is bounded above by

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ |U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n) - z| \leq 2^{-n} + \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} |U(t,x) - U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)| \right\}.$$

This can come from bounds on the joint probability density function of

$$(F_1, F_2) := \left(U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n), \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} (U(t,x) - U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)) \right)$$

Improving the upper bound

Proofs of upper bound use a **covering argument**:

$I \times J \subset (0, T] \times [-M, M]$. For $n, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$t_m^n := m 2^{-nH_1^{-1}}, \quad x_\ell^n := \ell 2^{-nH_\ell^{-1}},$$

and

$$I_m^n = [t_m^n, t_{m+1}^n], \quad J_\ell^n = [x_\ell^n, x_{\ell+1}^n], \quad R_{m,\ell}^n = I_m^n \times J_\ell^n.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, need a good estimate of

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \inf_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} |U(t,x) - z| \leq 2^{-n} \right\}.$$

Reverse triangle inequality: this is bounded above by

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ |U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n) - z| \leq 2^{-n} + \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} |U(t,x) - U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)| \right\}.$$

This can come from bounds on the joint probability density function of

$$(F_1, F_2) := \left(U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n), \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} (U(t,x) - U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)) \right)$$

Improving the upper bound: the Gaussian case

$$(F_1, F_2) = \left(U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n), \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} (U(t, x) - U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)) \right)$$

Notice that

$$F_2 = Z_{1,1} + Z_{1,2}$$

where

$$Z_{1,1} := \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} (U(t, x) - \mathbb{E}[U(t, x) \mid U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)]),$$

$$Z_{1,2} := \sup_{(t,x) \in R_{m,\ell}^n} (\mathbb{E}[U(t, x) \mid U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)] - U(t_m^n, x_\ell^n)).$$

Then

$$(F_1, F_2) \sim (F_1, Z_{1,1}, Z_{1,2}) \sim (F_1, Z_{1,1}, 2^{-n} F_1)$$

and F_1 and $Z_{1,1}$ are independent because U is Gaussian.

This argument does not carry over to the non-Gaussian case.

Improving the upper bound: the **non-Gaussian** case

Decoupled system:

$$U(t, x) = (U^1(t, x), \dots, U^d(t, x)),$$

and the U^i are i.i.d. copies of $u(t, x)$, where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) + b(u(t, x)) + \sigma(u(t, x)) \dot{W}(t, x), \quad (2)$$

$u(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given, $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is real-valued space-time white noise,

$\sigma, b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Problem. For (t_0, x_0) fixed, give bounds on the joint probability density function of

$$F_1^u = u(t_0, x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2^u(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sup_{\substack{t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \zeta_1 \\ x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \zeta_2}} (u(t, x) - u(t_0, x_0)).$$

Have not been able to do this.

Improving the upper bound: the **non-Gaussian** case

Decoupled system:

$$U(t, x) = (U^1(t, x), \dots, U^d(t, x)),$$

and the U^i are i.i.d. copies of $u(t, x)$, where

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) + b(u(t, x)) + \sigma(u(t, x)) \dot{W}(t, x), \quad (2)$$

$u(0, \cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given, $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is real-valued space-time white noise,

$\sigma, b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Problem. For (t_0, x_0) fixed, give bounds on the joint probability density function of

$$F_1^u = u(t_0, x_0) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2^u(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sup_{\substack{t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \zeta_1 \\ x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \zeta_2}} (u(t, x) - u(t_0, x_0)).$$

Have not been able to do this.

Solving the problem

Recall that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) + \sigma(u(t, x)) \dot{W}(t, x) + b(u(t, x)),$$

Consider the Gaussian process $(v(t, x))$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 v(t, x) + \dot{W}(t, x), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ v(0) \equiv 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

(same \dot{W} as in (2)). Define

$$F_2^v(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sup_{\substack{t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \zeta_1 \\ x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \zeta_2}} (v(t, x) - v(t_0, x_0)).$$

Then:

(a) Have obtained **good bounds** on the density of $(F_1^u, F_2^v(\zeta_1, \zeta_2))$;

(b) Can show that $L_{t_0, x_0}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ is **small**, where

$$\sup_{\substack{t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \zeta_1 \\ x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \zeta_2}} |u(t, x) - u(t_0, x_0) - \sigma(u(t_0, x_0)) (v(t, x) - v(t_0, x_0))|; \quad (4)$$

(c) these properties **are sufficient** to establish the sharp upper bound

$$\mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-6}(A).$$

Solving the problem

Recall that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) + \sigma(u(t, x)) \dot{W}(t, x) + b(u(t, x)),$$

Consider the Gaussian process $(v(t, x))$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 v(t, x) + \dot{W}(t, x), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ v(0) \equiv 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

(same \dot{W} as in (2)). Define

$$F_2^v(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \sup_{\substack{t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \zeta_1 \\ x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \zeta_2}} (v(t, x) - v(t_0, x_0)).$$

Then:

(a) Have obtained **good bounds** on the density of $(F_1^u, F_2^v(\zeta_1, \zeta_2))$;

(b) Can show that $L_{t_0, x_0}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ is **small**, where

$$\sup_{\substack{t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + \zeta_1 \\ x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + \zeta_2}} |u(t, x) - u(t_0, x_0) - \sigma(u(t_0, x_0)) (v(t, x) - v(t_0, x_0))|; \quad (4)$$

(c) these properties **are sufficient** to establish the sharp upper bound

$$\mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-6}(A).$$

Precise statements

Theorem 8 (D., Fei Pu & David Nualart, 2025)

(a) *There is a constant $c = c(I, J)$ such that: for $(t_0, x_0) \in I \times J$ and $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in]0, 1[$, the density $p_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2}(z_1, z_2)$ of $F = (F_1^u, F_2^v(\zeta_1, \zeta_2))$, $z_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $z_2 > 0$, is such that, for small $\zeta_1 > 0$ and $\zeta_2 > 0$, $z_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z_2 \geq \zeta := \max(\zeta_1^{1/4}, \zeta_2^{1/2})$,*

$$p_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2}(z_1, z_2) \leq \frac{c}{\zeta} \exp\left(-\frac{z_2^2}{c\zeta^2}\right).$$

(b) *Let $L_{t_0, x_0}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ be as in (4). Then for all large $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|L_{t_0, x_0}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)\|_{L^k(\Omega)} \leq \zeta^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

(c) *Suppose that $\sigma \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$, Lipschitz, bounded and $\inf_{z \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma(z) > 0$. Then there exists $C = C(I, J) < \infty$ such that for all compact sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{U(I \times J) \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \leq C \mathcal{H}_{d-6}(A).$$

References

- Mueller, C., Tribe, R. Hitting properties of a random string. *Electron. J. Probab.* **7** (2002), 29 pp.
- Dalang, R.C. and Nualart, E. Potential theory for hyperbolic SPDEs. *Ann. Probab.* **32** (2004), 2099-2148.
- Dalang, R.C., Khoshnevisan, D. and Nualart, E. Hitting probabilities for systems for non-linear stochastic heat equations with **additive** noise. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **3** (2007), 231-271.
- Dalang, R.C., Khoshnevisan, D. and Nualart, E. Hitting probabilities for systems for non-linear stochastic heat equations with **multiplicative** noise. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **144** (2009), 371-427.
- Biermé, H., Lacaux, C., Xiao, Y. Hitting probabilities and the Hausdorff dimension of the inverse images of anisotropic Gaussian random fields. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **41** (2009), 253-273.
- Pu, F. The stochastic heat equation: hitting probabilities and the probability density function of the supremum via Malliavin calculus. Thèse no **8695**. École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 2018.
- Dalang, R.C., Pu, F. Optimal lower bounds on hitting probabilities for non-linear systems of stochastic fractional heat equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* **131** (2021), 359-393.
- Dalang, R.C., Nualart, D. and Pu, F. Sharp upper bounds on hitting probabilities for the solution to the stochastic heat equation on the line (2025, in preparation).