

# Anomalous diffusive limit for a kinetic interface model<sup>1</sup>

Lorenzo Marino, SNS Pisa

Workshop on Irregular Stochastic Analysis, Cortona, 27 June 2025

---

<sup>1</sup>Joint work with K. Bogdan (Wrocław University) and T. Komorowski (IMPAN)

## Our model: linearized Boltzmann equation

**Aim:** Study the **asymptotic behaviour** of a kinetic equation with random interface conditions

Outside the interface  $\mathcal{I} := \{\gamma = 0\}$ , **phonons** dynamics is described in terms of a **linearized Boltzmann equation**:

$$(KE): \begin{cases} \partial_t W(t, \gamma, k) + \omega'(k) \partial_\gamma W(t, \gamma, k) = \gamma L_k W(t, \gamma, k); \\ W(0, \gamma, k) = W_0(\gamma, k), \end{cases}$$

where

- $W(t, \gamma, k)$  is the phonons **energy density** at position  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  and frequency  $k \in \mathbb{T} := [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] / \sim$ ;
- $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{T}_*)$  even and unimodal (with infimum in 0), is the **dispersion relation**;
- $\gamma > 0$  is the **scattering rate** of phonons;
- the **scattering operator**  $L_k$ , acting only on the frequency variable  $k$ , is given by

$$L_k u(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') [u(k') - u(k)] dk',$$

for a symmetric **scattering kernel**  $R \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ .

## Our model: interface conditions

At the interface  $\mathcal{I}$ , the phonons undergo a random **transmission-reflection-absorption** mechanism:

$$(IC): \begin{cases} W(t, 0^+, k) = p_+(k)W(t, 0^-, k) + p_-(k)W(t, 0^+, -k) + p_0(k)T, & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{T}_+; \\ W(t, 0^-, k) = p_+(k)W(t, 0^+, k) + p_-(k)W(t, 0^-, -k) + p_0(k)T, & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{T}_- \end{cases}$$

where

- $T \geq 0$  is the **temperature preset** of the system;
- $p_+, p_-, p_0: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are even, continuous and such that  $p_+(k) + p_-(k) + p_0(k) = 1$ ;

## Our model: interface conditions

At the interface  $\mathcal{I}$ , the phonons undergo a random **transmission-reflection-absorption** mechanism:

$$(IC): \begin{cases} W(t, 0^+, k) = p_+(k)W(t, 0^-, k) + p_-(k)W(t, 0^+, -k) + p_0(k)T, & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{T}_+; \\ W(t, 0^-, k) = p_+(k)W(t, 0^+, k) + p_-(k)W(t, 0^-, -k) + p_0(k)T, & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{T}_- \end{cases}$$

where

- $T \geq 0$  is the **temperature preset** of the system;
- $p_+, p_-, p_0: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are even, continuous and such that  $p_+(k) + p_-(k) + p_0(k) = 1$ ;

**Aim:** To understand the right **scaling** index  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$W_\lambda(t, y, k) := W(\lambda t, \lambda^{1/\alpha} y, k), \quad \lambda > 0;$$

satisfying the interface conditions (IC) and

$$(KE_\lambda): \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda} \partial_t W_\lambda(t, y, k) + \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/\alpha}} \omega'(k) \partial_y W_\lambda(t, y, k) = \gamma L_k W_\lambda(t, y, k); \\ W_\lambda(0, y, k) = W_0(y, k) \end{cases}$$

admits the limit  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} W_\lambda$  and **characterise** the limit function  $\bar{W}$ .

# A problem in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics

**Aim:** To understand **heat conduction** in crystalline solids (from microscopic to macroscopic scales).

A prototypical example on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the **Fermi-Pasta-Ulam** (FPU)  $\beta$ -chain whose Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{p}_j^2}_{\text{kinetic}} + \underbrace{\frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}_j^2}_{\text{pinning}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{j-k} (\mathbf{q}_j - \mathbf{q}_k)^2}_{\text{harmonic}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{j-k} (\mathbf{q}_j - \mathbf{q}_k)^4}_{\text{anharmonic}},$$

where  $(\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{q}_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  **momentum** and **position** of particle  $j$  and  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$  suitable coupling parameters.

# A problem in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics

**Aim:** To understand **heat conduction** in crystalline solids (from microscopic to macroscopic scales).

A prototypical example on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the **Fermi-Pasta-Ulam** (FPU)  $\beta$ -chain whose Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} p_j^2}_{\text{kinetic}} + \underbrace{\frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} q_j^2}_{\text{pinning}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{j-k} (q_j - q_k)^2}_{\text{harmonic}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \beta_{j-k} (q_j - q_k)^4}_{\text{anharmonic}},$$

where  $(p_j, q_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  **momentum** and **position** of particle  $j$  and  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$  suitable coupling parameters.

⚠ Difficult to study the macroscopic energy conduction in the FPU  $\beta$ -chain due to **anharmonic** term!

# A problem in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics

**Aim:** To understand **heat conduction** in crystalline solids (from microscopic to macroscopic scales).

A prototypical example on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the **Fermi-Pasta-Ulam** (FPU)  $\beta$ -chain whose Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{p}_j^2}_{\text{kinetic}} + \underbrace{\frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}_j^2}_{\text{pinning}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{j-k} (\mathbf{q}_j - \mathbf{q}_k)^2}_{\text{harmonic}},$$

where  $(\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{q}_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  **momentum** and **position** of particle  $j$  and  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$  suitable coupling parameters.

⚠ Difficult to study the macroscopic energy conduction in the FPU  $\beta$ -chain due to anharmonic term!

↪ Consider **only** the harmonic chain:

$$(H): \begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_j(t) = \partial_{\mathbf{p}_j} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{p}_j(t), & j \in \mathbb{Z}, t > 0 \\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}_j(t) = -\partial_{\mathbf{q}_j} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = -(\bar{\alpha} \star \mathbf{q}(t))_j, \end{cases}$$

# A problem in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics

**Aim:** To understand **heat conduction** in crystalline solids (from microscopic to macroscopic scales).

A prototypical example on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the **Fermi-Pasta-Ulam** (FPU)  $\beta$ -chain whose Hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{p}_j^2}_{\text{kinetic}} + \underbrace{\frac{\omega_0^2}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{q}_j^2}_{\text{pinning}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{j-k} (\mathbf{q}_j - \mathbf{q}_k)^2}_{\text{harmonic}},$$

where  $(\mathbf{p}_j, \mathbf{q}_j) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  **momentum** and **position** of particle  $j$  and  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$  suitable coupling parameters.

⚠ Difficult to study the macroscopic energy conduction in the FPU  $\beta$ -chain due to anharmonic term!

↪ Consider **only** the harmonic chain:

$$(H): \begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_j(t) = \partial_{\mathbf{p}_j} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{p}_j(t), & j \in \mathbb{Z}, t > 0 \\ \dot{\mathbf{p}}_j(t) = -\partial_{\mathbf{q}_j} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = -(\bar{\alpha} \star \mathbf{q}(t))_j, \end{cases} \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{ballistic transport!}$$

⚠ Numerical simulations show different macroscopic heat behaviours for the FPU  $\beta$ -chain:

- **diffusive** transport in the **pinned** case, i.e.  $\omega_0 \neq 0$ ;
- **super-diffusive** transport in the **unpinned** case, i.e.  $\omega_0 = 0$ .



## A problem in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics

[BO05]: Replace anharmonicity with a **random exchange of momenta** between nearest neighbourhood particles:

$$(\text{wPH}): \begin{cases} dq_j(t) = p_j(t)dt, & j \in \mathbb{Z}, t > 0 \\ dp_j(t) = -(\bar{\alpha} \star q(t))_j dt + \frac{\varepsilon\gamma}{2} \Delta_d(p_{j+1}(t) + 4p_j(t) + p_{j-1}(t))dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon\gamma} \sum_{k=-1,0,1} \mathcal{L}_{j+k} p_j(t) dw_{j+k}(t), \end{cases}$$

where  $\{w_j(t)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  independent BMs on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ,  $\Delta_d$  discrete Laplacian and vector fields

$$\mathcal{L}_j = (p_j - p_{j+1})\partial_{p_{j-1}} + (p_{j+1} - p_{j-1})\partial_{p_j} + (p_{j-1} - p_j)\partial_{p_{j+1}}.$$

# A problem in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics

[BO05]: Replace anharmonicity with a **random exchange of momenta** between nearest neighbourhood particles:

$$(\text{wPH}): \begin{cases} dq_j(t) = p_j(t)dt, & j \in \mathbb{Z}, t > 0 \\ dp_j(t) = -(\bar{\alpha} \star q(t))_j dt + d\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon(p_{j-1}, p_j, p_{j+1}), \end{cases}$$

where  $\{w_j(t)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  independent BMs on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ,  $\Delta_d$  discrete Laplacian and vector fields

$$\mathcal{L}_j = (p_j - p_{j+1})\partial_{p_{j-1}} + (p_{j+1} - p_{j-1})\partial_{p_j} + (p_{j-1} - p_j)\partial_{p_{j+1}}.$$

## Main features of the random perturbations

- $\varepsilon \ll 1 \rightsquigarrow$  Boltzmann-Grad hypothesis (only a finite number of collisions at macroscopic scale);
- The infinitesimal generator of  $\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{L}_j)^2,$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  local conservation of kinetic energy and momentum!

# A problem in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics

[BO05]: Replace anharmonicity with a **random exchange of momenta** between nearest neighbourhood particles:

$$(\text{LwPH}) \quad \begin{cases} dq_j(t) = p_j(t)dt, & j \in \mathbb{Z}, t > 0 \\ dp_j(t) = -(\bar{\alpha} \star q(t))_j dt + d\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon(p_{j-1}, p_j, p_{j+1}) + (\sqrt{2T}dw(t) - p_0(t)dt)\delta_{0j}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\{w_j(t)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  independent BMs on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ,  $\Delta_d$  discrete Laplacian and vector fields

$$\mathcal{L}_j = (p_j - p_{j+1})\partial_{p_{j-1}} + (p_{j+1} - p_{j-1})\partial_{p_j} + (p_{j-1} - p_j)\partial_{p_{j+1}}.$$

## Main features of the random perturbations

- $\varepsilon \ll 1 \rightsquigarrow$  **Boltzmann-Grad** hypothesis (only a finite number of collisions at macroscopic scale);
- The infinitesimal generator of  $\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{L}_j)^2,$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  **local conservation** of kinetic energy and momentum!

- the **Langevin thermostat** ensures that  $\mathbb{E}[p_0^2] = T$ ;

## A problem in non-equilibrium statistical mechanics

[BO05]: Replace anharmonicity with a **random exchange of momenta** between nearest neighbourhood particles:

$$(\text{LwPH}) \quad \begin{cases} dq_j(t) = p_j(t)dt, & j \in \mathbb{Z}, t > 0 \\ dp_j(t) = -(\bar{\alpha} \star q(t))_j dt + d\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon(p_{j-1}, p_j, p_{j+1}) + (\sqrt{2T}dw(t) - p_0(t)dt)\delta_{0j}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\{w_j(t)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  independent BMs on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ ,  $\Delta_d$  discrete Laplacian and vector fields

$$\mathcal{L}_j = (p_j - p_{j+1})\partial_{p_{j-1}} + (p_{j+1} - p_{j-1})\partial_{p_j} + (p_{j-1} - p_j)\partial_{p_{j+1}}.$$

### Main features of the random perturbations

- $\varepsilon \ll 1 \rightsquigarrow$  **Boltzmann-Grad** hypothesis (only a finite number of collisions at macroscopic scale);
- The infinitesimal generator of  $\mathcal{B}^\varepsilon$  is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{L}_j)^2,$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  **local conservation** of kinetic energy and momentum!

- the **Langevin thermostat** ensures that  $\mathbb{E}[p_0^2] = T$ ;

[KO20]: Our interface model **(KE) + (IC)** emerges as the **kinetic limit** for the "energy density" of (LwPH)!

## Related results: classical vs anomalous diffusive limits

⚠ Only in the case of **nearest neighbourhood interactions**, i.e.  $\alpha_j = 0$  if  $|j| > 1$ , we know that

$$R(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') dk' \approx |k|^2, \quad p_\iota(k) \approx p_\iota^* > 0, \quad |k| \ll 1, \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\}$$

## Related results: classical vs anomalous diffusive limits

⚠ Only in the case of **nearest neighbourhood interactions**, i.e.  $\alpha_j = 0$  if  $|j| > 1$ , we know that

$$R(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') dk' \approx |k|^2, \quad p_\iota(k) \approx p_\iota^* > 0, \quad |k| \ll 1, \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\}$$

- [BKO19] In the **pinned** case  $\rightsquigarrow \omega'(k) \approx |k|$ , the right scaling is **diffusive**:  $\alpha = 2$  and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} W_\lambda(t, \gamma, k) = \bar{W}(t, \gamma) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, \gamma) = \bar{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma\gamma}^2 \bar{W}(t, \gamma), & \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_* \\ \bar{W}(t, 0) = T. \end{cases}$$

## Related results: classical vs anomalous diffusive limits

⚠ Only in the case of **nearest neighbourhood interactions**, i.e.  $\alpha_j = 0$  if  $|j| > 1$ , we know that

$$R(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') dk' \approx |k|^2, \quad p_\iota(k) \approx p_\iota^* > 0, \quad |k| \ll 1, \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\}$$

- [BKO19] In the **pinned** case  $\rightsquigarrow \omega'(k) \approx |k|$ , the right scaling is **diffusive**:  $\alpha = 2$  and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} W_\lambda(t, \gamma, k) = \bar{W}(t, \gamma) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, \gamma) = \bar{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma\gamma}^2 \bar{W}(t, \gamma), & \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_* \\ \bar{W}(t, 0) = T. \end{cases}$$

## Related results: classical vs anomalous diffusive limits

⚠ Only in the case of **nearest neighbourhood interactions**, i.e.  $\alpha_j = 0$  if  $|j| > 1$ , we know that

$$R(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') dk' \approx |k|^2, \quad p_\iota(k) \approx p_\iota^* > 0, \quad |k| \ll 1, \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\}$$

- **[BKO19]** In the **pinned** case  $\rightsquigarrow \omega'(k) \approx |k|$ , the right scaling is **diffusive**:  $\alpha = 2$  and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} W_\lambda(t, \gamma, k) = \bar{W}(t, \gamma) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, \gamma) = \bar{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma\gamma}^2 \bar{W}(t, \gamma), & \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_* \\ \bar{W}(t, 0) = T. \end{cases}$$

- **[KOR20]** In the **unpinned** case  $\rightsquigarrow \omega'(k) \approx O(1)$ , the right scaling is **super-diffusive**:  $\alpha = 3/2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, \gamma) = & \bar{\gamma} \text{p.v.} \int_{\gamma\gamma' > 0} q_{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma' - \gamma) [\bar{W}(t, \gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] d\gamma' \\ & + \int_{\gamma\gamma' < 0} q_{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma' - \gamma) \{ p_+^* [\bar{W}(t, \gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] + p_-^* [\bar{W}(t, -\gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] + p_0^* [T_0 - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] \} d\gamma', \end{aligned} \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_*$$

for explicitly given **diffusion coefficients**  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  and  $q_\alpha(\gamma) := c_\alpha |\gamma|^{-(1+\alpha)}$ ;



## Related results: classical vs anomalous diffusive limits

⚠ Only in the case of **nearest neighbourhood interactions**, i.e.  $\alpha_j = 0$  if  $|j| > 1$ , we know that

$$R(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') dk' \approx |k|^2, \quad p_\iota(k) \approx p_\iota^* > 0, \quad |k| \ll 1, \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\}$$

- **[BKO19]** In the **pinned** case  $\rightsquigarrow \omega'(k) \approx |k|$ , the right scaling is **diffusive**:  $\alpha = 2$  and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} W_\lambda(t, \gamma, k) = \bar{W}(t, \gamma) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, \gamma) = \bar{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma\gamma}^2 \bar{W}(t, \gamma), & \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_* \\ \bar{W}(t, 0) = T. \end{cases}$$

- **[KOR20]** In the **unpinned** case  $\rightsquigarrow \omega'(k) \approx O(1)$ , the right scaling is **super-diffusive**:  $\alpha = 3/2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, \gamma) = & \bar{\gamma} \text{p.v.} \int_{\gamma\gamma' > 0} q_{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma' - \gamma) [\bar{W}(t, \gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] d\gamma' \\ & + \int_{\gamma\gamma' < 0} q_{\frac{3}{2}}(\gamma' - \gamma) \{ \textcolor{red}{p}_+^* [\bar{W}(t, \gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] + \textcolor{red}{p}_-^* [\bar{W}(t, -\gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] + \textcolor{red}{p}_0^* [T_0 - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] \} d\gamma', \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_* \end{aligned}$$

for explicitly given **diffusion coefficients**  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  and  $q_\alpha(\gamma) := c_\alpha |\gamma|^{-(1+\alpha)}$ ;

## Related results: classical vs anomalous diffusive limits

⚠ Only in the case of **nearest neighbourhood interactions**, i.e.  $\alpha_j = 0$  if  $|j| > 1$ , we know that

$$R(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} R(k, k') dk' \approx |k|^2, \quad p_\iota(k) \approx p_\iota^* > 0, \quad |k| \ll 1, \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\}$$

- **[BKO19]** In the **pinned** case  $\rightsquigarrow \omega'(k) \approx |k|$ , the right scaling is **diffusive**:  $\alpha = 2$  and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} W_\lambda(t, y, k) = \bar{W}(t, y) \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, y) = \bar{\gamma} \partial_y^2 \bar{W}(t, y), & y \in \mathbb{R}_* \\ \bar{W}(t, 0) = T. \end{cases}$$

- **[KOR20]** In the **unpinned** case  $\rightsquigarrow \omega'(k) \approx O(1)$ , the right scaling is **super-diffusive**:  $\alpha = 3/2$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, y) = & \bar{\gamma} \text{p.v.} \int_{yy' > 0} q_{\frac{3}{2}}(y' - y) [\bar{W}(t, y') - \bar{W}(t, y)] dy' \\ & + \int_{yy' < 0} q_{\frac{3}{2}}(y' - y) \{ p_+^* [\bar{W}(t, y') - \bar{W}(t, y)] + p_-^* [\bar{W}(t, -y') - \bar{W}(t, y)] + p_0^* [T_0 - \bar{W}(t, y)] \} dy', \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_* \end{aligned}$$

for explicitly given **diffusion coefficients**  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  and  $q_\alpha(y) := c_\alpha |y|^{-(1+\alpha)}$ ;

⚠ **frequency homogenisation** happens at the limit :  $\bar{W}(0, y) = \bar{W}_0(y) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_0(y, k) dk$ .

## Precise assumptions on our model

[MK]: the scattering kernel is **non-symmetric** but of **multiplicative form**:

$$R(k, k') = R_1(k)R_2(k')$$

for (normalised) even, non-negative  $R_1, R_2$  in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ ;

## Precise assumptions on our model

[MK]: the scattering kernel is **non-symmetric** but of **multiplicative form**:

$$R(k, k') = R_1(k)R_2(k')$$

for (normalised) even, non-negative  $R_1, R_2$  in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ ;

[S]: We consider **abstract scaling** features:

$$R_j(k) \approx |k|^{\beta_j}, \quad S(k) := \frac{|\omega'(k)|}{\gamma R_1(k)} \approx |k|^{-\beta_3} \quad k \ll 1, \quad j = 1, 2$$

for  $\beta_1 \leq 1 + \beta_2$  and  $\beta_3 < 1 + \beta_2 < 2\beta_3$ ;

## Precise assumptions on our model

[MK]: the scattering kernel is **non-symmetric** but of **multiplicative form**:

$$R(k, k') = R_1(k)R_2(k')$$

for (normalised) even, non-negative  $R_1, R_2$  in  $C(\mathbb{T})$ ;

[S]: We consider **abstract scaling** features:

$$R_j(k) \approx |k|^{\beta_j}, \quad S(k) := \frac{|\omega'(k)|}{\gamma R_1(k)} \approx |k|^{-\beta_3} \quad k \ll 1, \quad j = 1, 2$$

for  $\beta_1 \leq 1 + \beta_2$  and  $\beta_3 < 1 + \beta_2 < 2\beta_3$ ;

[D]: the absorption probability has a **logarithmic decay** of order  $\kappa > 0$  at 0:

$$p_0(k) \approx |\log |k||^{-\kappa}, \quad k \ll 1$$

[ND]: the transmission probability is **non-zero** on  $\mathbb{T}$ :

$$\inf_{k \in \mathbb{T}} p_+(k) \neq 0$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  We introduce the scaling parameter:

$$\alpha := \frac{1 + \beta_2}{\beta_3} \in (1, 2)$$

## Associated function spaces

In order to state our main result, we need to introduce:

- the space  $\mathcal{C}_T$  of the **interface admissible configurations**, composed by all  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R}_* \times \mathbb{T}_*)$  satisfying (IC) and which can be continuously extended to  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_\iota \times \mathbb{T}_*$ , for  $\iota \in \{+, -\}$ ;

## Associated function spaces

In order to state our main result, we need to introduce:

- the space  $\mathcal{C}_T$  of the **interface admissible configurations**, composed by all  $\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_* \times \mathbb{T}_*)$  satisfying (IC) and which can be continuously extended to  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_\iota \times \mathbb{T}_*$ , for  $\iota \in \{+, -\}$ ;
- the space  $\mathcal{H}_o$  of the **limit admissible configurations** as the completion of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_*)$  under the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_o}$  given by

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_o} := \sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{E}}[u]},$$

for any Borel function  $u: \mathbb{R}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and with the following Dirichlet form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}[u] := & \frac{1}{2} \int_{yy' > 0} (u(y') - u(y))^2 q_\alpha(y' - y) dy dy' \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{yy' < 0} q_\alpha(y' - y) \left\{ p_+^*[u(y') - u(y)]^2 + p_-^*[u(-y') - u(y)]^2 \right\} dy dy'; \end{aligned}$$

## Associated function spaces

In order to state our main result, we need to introduce:

- the space  $\mathcal{C}_T$  of the **interface admissible configurations**, composed by all  $\phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_* \times \mathbb{T}_*)$  satisfying (IC) and which can be continuously extended to  $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_\iota \times \mathbb{T}_*$ , for  $\iota \in \{+, -\}$ ;
- the space  $\mathcal{H}_o$  of the **limit admissible configurations** as the completion of  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_*)$  under the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_o}$  given by

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_o} := \sqrt{\hat{\mathcal{E}}[u]},$$

for any Borel function  $u: \mathbb{R}_* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and with the following Dirichlet form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{E}}[u] := & \frac{1}{2} \int_{y y' > 0} (u(y') - u(y))^2 q_\alpha(y' - y) dy dy' \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{y y' < 0} q_\alpha(y' - y) \left\{ p_+^*[u(y') - u(y)]^2 + p_-^*[u(-y') - u(y)]^2 \right\} dy dy'; \end{aligned}$$

- the **scattering invariant measure**  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  associated with the scattering operator  $L_k$ :

$$\pi(dk) := \frac{R_2(k)}{R_1(k)} dk.$$



## Our main result

Let  $W_0$  be a “suitable” initial condition:  $W_0 \in \mathcal{C}_T$  and  $\bar{W}_0 - T \in \mathcal{H}_o$  where

$$\bar{W}_0(\gamma) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_0(\gamma, k) \pi(dk) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

## Our main result

Let  $W_0$  be a “suitable” initial condition:  $W_0 \in \mathcal{C}_T$  and  $\bar{W}_0 - T \in \mathcal{H}_o$  where

$$\bar{W}_0(\gamma) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_0(\gamma, k) \pi(dk) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

## Our main result

Let  $W_0$  be a “suitable” initial condition:  $W_0 \in \mathcal{C}_T$  and  $\bar{W}_0 - T \in \mathcal{H}_o$  where

$$\bar{W}_0(\gamma) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_0(\gamma, k) \pi(dk) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

### Existence of super-diffusive limit and its characterisation

Let  $W_\lambda(t, \gamma, k)$  be the classical solution to  $(KE_\lambda) + (IC)$ . Then,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \langle W_\lambda(t), F \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} = \langle \bar{W}(t), F \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}, \quad F \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}).$$

Moreover, the limit function  $\bar{W}$  is the **unique weak solution** to

$$(LE) : \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, \gamma) = \bar{\gamma} \text{ p.v. } \int_{\gamma\gamma' > 0} q_\alpha(\gamma' - \gamma) [\bar{W}(t, \gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] d\gamma' \\ \quad + \int_{\gamma\gamma' < 0} q_\alpha(\gamma' - \gamma) \{ p_+^* [\bar{W}(t, \gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] + p_-^* [\bar{W}(t, -\gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] \} d\gamma'; \\ \bar{W}(t, 0) = T, \quad \bar{W}(0, \gamma) = \bar{W}_0(\gamma), \end{cases}$$

for an **explicitly given** diffusion coefficient  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ .

## Our main result

Let  $W_0$  be a “suitable” initial condition:  $W_0 \in \mathcal{C}_T$  and  $\bar{W}_0 - T \in \mathcal{H}_o$  where

$$\bar{W}_0(\gamma) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_0(\gamma, k) \pi(dk) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

### Existence of super-diffusive limit and its characterisation

Let  $W_\lambda(t, \gamma, k)$  be the classical solution to  $(KE_\lambda) + (IC)$ . Then,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \langle W_\lambda(t), F \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})} = \langle \bar{W}(t), F \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T})}, \quad F \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}).$$

Moreover, the limit function  $\bar{W}$  is the **unique weak solution** to

$$(LE) : \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{W}(t, \gamma) = \bar{\gamma} \text{ p.v. } \int_{\gamma\gamma' > 0} q_\alpha(\gamma' - \gamma) [\bar{W}(t, \gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] d\gamma' \\ \quad + \int_{\gamma\gamma' < 0} q_\alpha(\gamma' - \gamma) \{ \textcolor{red}{p}_+^* [\bar{W}(t, \gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] + \textcolor{red}{p}_-^* [\bar{W}(t, -\gamma') - \bar{W}(t, \gamma)] \} d\gamma'; \\ \textcolor{red}{\bar{W}}(t, 0) = T, \quad \bar{W}(0, \gamma) = \bar{W}_0(\gamma), \end{cases}$$

for an **explicitly given** diffusion coefficient  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ .

## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

- 1) We construct the **skeleton** random sequences in terms of  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  i.i.d. such that  $\tau_n \sim \exp(1)$ :
- the **frequency** and **position** chains as  $K_0(k) = k$  and  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$K_1 \sim R_2(k)dk \quad Z_n(\gamma, k) := \gamma - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S(K_j(k))\tau_j;$$

## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

- 1) We construct the **skeleton** random sequences in terms of  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  i.i.d. such that  $\tau_n \sim \exp(1)$ :
- the **frequency** and **position** chains as  $K_0(k) = k$  and  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$K_1 \sim R_2(k)dk \quad Z_n(\gamma, k) := \gamma - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S(K_j(k))\tau_j;$$

- the **crossing times** sequence as  $n_0 := 0$  and

$$n_{m+1} := \inf \{n > n_m : (-1)^m \gamma Z_n(\gamma, k) < 0\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N};$$

## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

1) We construct the **skeleton** random sequences in terms of  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  i.i.d. such that  $\tau_n \sim \exp(1)$ :

- the **frequency** and **position** chains as  $K_0(k) = k$  and  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$K_1 \sim R_2(k)dk \quad Z_n(\gamma, k) := \gamma - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S(K_j(k))\tau_j;$$

- the **crossing times** sequence as  $n_0 := 0$  and

$$n_{m+1} := \inf \{n > n_m : (-1)^m \gamma Z_n(\gamma, k) < 0\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N};$$

- the **interface mechanism** chain as  $\{\sigma_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  independent when conditioned on  $\{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_m = \iota | \{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}) = p_\iota(K_{n_m-1}(k)), \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\};$$

## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

1) We construct the **skeleton** random sequences in terms of  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  i.i.d. such that  $\tau_n \sim \exp(1)$ :

- the **frequency** and **position** chains as  $K_0(k) = k$  and  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$K_1 \sim R_2(k)dk \quad Z_n(\gamma, k) := \gamma - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S(K_j(k))\tau_j;$$

- the **crossing times** sequence as  $n_0 := 0$  and

$$n_{m+1} := \inf \{n > n_m : (-1)^m \gamma Z_n(\gamma, k) < 0\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N};$$

- the **interface mechanism** chain as  $\{\sigma_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  independent when conditioned on  $\{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_m = \iota | \{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}) = p_\iota(K_{n_m-1}(k)), \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\};$$

- the **interface frequency** and **interface position** chains as

$$K_n^o(k) := \left( \prod_{j=0}^m \sigma_j \right) K_n(k), \quad Z_n^o(\gamma, k) := \left( \prod_{j=0}^m \sigma_j \right) Z_n(\gamma, k), \quad \text{for } n_m \leq n \leq n_{m+1};$$



## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

1) We construct the **skeleton** random sequences in terms of  $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  i.i.d. such that  $\tau_n \sim \exp(1)$ :

- the **frequency** and **position** chains as  $K_0(k) = k$  and  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$K_1 \sim R_2(k)dk \quad Z_n(\gamma, k) := \gamma - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S(K_j(k))\tau_j;$$

- the **crossing times** sequence as  $n_0 := 0$  and

$$n_{m+1} := \inf \{n > n_m : (-1)^m \gamma Z_n(\gamma, k) < 0\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N};$$

- the **interface mechanism** chain as  $\{\sigma_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  independent when conditioned on  $\{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}$  and

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma_m = \iota | \{K_n(k)\}_{n \geq 0}) = p_\iota(K_{n_m-1}(k)), \quad \iota \in \{0, \pm\};$$

- the **interface frequency** and **interface position** chains as

$$K_n^o(k) := \left( \prod_{j=0}^m \sigma_j \right) K_n(k), \quad Z_n^o(\gamma, k) := \left( \prod_{j=0}^m \sigma_j \right) Z_n(\gamma, k), \quad \text{for } n_m \leq n \leq n_{m+1};$$

- the **scattering clock** sequence as

$$\mathfrak{T}_n(k) := \sum_{j=0}^n \mathfrak{t}(K_j(k))\tau_j \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{t}(k) := \frac{1}{\gamma R_1(k)}.$$

## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

2) We **interpolate** between values and **rescale** at scattering clock time frame:

- By  $\tilde{\phi}(t)$  we denote linear interpolation between values of  $\phi(n)$ . The **frequency** and **position** processes are

$$K^o(t, k) := K_{[\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}^{-1}(t, k)]}^o(k) \quad Y^o(t, \gamma, k) := \tilde{Z}^o(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}^{-1}(t, k), \gamma, k) = \gamma - \int_0^t \omega'(K^o(s, k)) ds;$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  (KE) + (IC) is the **Kolmogorov equation** associated with  $\{K^o(t, k), Y^o(t, \gamma, k)\}$ ;

## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

2) We **interpolate** between values and **rescale** at scattering clock time frame:

- By  $\tilde{\phi}(t)$  we denote linear interpolation between values of  $\phi(n)$ . The **frequency** and **position** processes are

$$K^o(t, k) := K_{[\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}^{-1}(t, k)]}^o(k) \quad Y^o(t, \gamma, k) := \tilde{Z}^o(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}^{-1}(t, k), \gamma, k) = \gamma - \int_0^t \omega'((s, k)) ds;$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  (KE) + (IC) is the **Kolmogorov equation** associated with  $\{K^o(t, k), Y^o(t, \gamma, k)\}$ ;

- Let  $\eta(t, \gamma)$  be the process starting from  $\gamma$  whose infinitesimal generator is

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha u(x) := \bar{\gamma} \text{ p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}} q_\alpha(z - x)[u(z) - u(x)] dz;$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  (LE) is the **Kolmogorov equation** for the process  $\eta^o(t, \gamma)$  obtained from  $\eta(t, \gamma)$  similarly as before;

## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

2) We **interpolate** between values and **rescale** at scattering clock time frame:

- By  $\tilde{\phi}(t)$  we denote linear interpolation between values of  $\phi(n)$ . The **frequency** and **position** processes are

$$K_{\lambda}^o(t, k) := K_{[\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\lambda}^{-1}(t, k)]}^{o, \lambda}(k) \quad Y_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k) := \tilde{Z}_{\lambda}^o(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\lambda}^{-1}(t, k), \gamma, k) = \gamma - \lambda^{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^t \omega'(K_{\lambda}^o(s, k)) ds;$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  (KE $_{\lambda}$ ) + (IC) is the **Kolmogorov equation** associated with  $\{K_{\lambda}^o(t, k), Y_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k)\}$ ;

- Let  $\eta(t, \gamma)$  be the process starting from  $\gamma$  whose infinitesimal generator is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} u(x) := \bar{\gamma} \text{ p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}} q_{\alpha}(z - x)[u(z) - u(x)] dz;$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  (LE) is the **Kolmogorov equation** for the process  $\eta^o(t, \gamma)$  obtained from  $\eta(t, \gamma)$  similarly as before;

3) We suitably rescale in  $\lambda$  the full model;

## Sketch of the proof: Probabilistic interpretation

2) We **interpolate** between values and **rescale** at scattering clock time frame:

- By  $\tilde{\phi}(t)$  we denote linear interpolation between values of  $\phi(n)$ . The **frequency** and **position** processes are

$$K_{\lambda}^o(t, k) := K_{[\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\lambda}^{-1}(t, k)]}^{o, \lambda}(k) \quad Y_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k) := \tilde{Z}_{\lambda}^o(\tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\lambda}^{-1}(t, k), \gamma, k) = \gamma - \lambda^{1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^t \omega'(K_{\lambda}^o(s, k)) ds;$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  (KE $_{\lambda}$ ) + (IC) is the **Kolmogorov equation** associated with  $\{K_{\lambda}^o(t, k), Y_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k)\}$ ;

- Let  $\eta(t, \gamma)$  be the process starting from  $\gamma$  whose infinitesimal generator is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} u(x) := \bar{\gamma} \text{ p.v. } \int_{\mathbb{R}} q_{\alpha}(z - x)[u(z) - u(x)] dz;$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  (LE) is the **Kolmogorov equation** for the process  $\eta^o(t, \gamma)$  obtained from  $\eta(t, \gamma)$  similarly as before;

3) We suitably rescale in  $\lambda$  the full model;

4) As  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , the solution  $W_{\lambda}$  to (KE $_{\lambda}$ ) + (IC) weakly converges to solution  $\bar{W}$  of (LE) if

the processes  $\{Y_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k)\}_{\lambda}$  weakly converge to  $\eta^o(t, \gamma)$  over  $\mathcal{D}[0, +\infty)$  with the  $M_1$ -topology.

## Sketch of the proof: Semigroup analysis

5) Introducing  $\theta := \mathbb{E}[\mathfrak{t}(K_1)]$ , one can show that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left| \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{\lambda}^{-1}(t, k) - \frac{t}{\theta} \right| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

## Sketch of the proof: Semigroup analysis

5) Introducing  $\theta := \mathbb{E}[\mathfrak{t}(K_1)]$ , one can show that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left| \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\lambda}^{-1}(t, k) - \frac{t}{\theta} \right| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

6) Let us consider the corresponding Markov semigroups:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_t^{o, \lambda} u(\gamma) &:= \mathbb{E}[u(\tilde{Z}_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k)), t < \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_{\gamma, \mathfrak{f}}^{\lambda}; \\ P_t^o u(\gamma) &:= \mathbb{E}[u(\zeta^o(t, \gamma)), t < \mathfrak{t}_{\gamma, \mathfrak{f}}]. \end{aligned}$$

The weak convergence of  $\tilde{Z}_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k)$  to  $\zeta^o(t, \gamma) := \eta^o(\theta t, \gamma)$  now follows from:

As  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , the semigroups  $\{\tilde{P}_t^{o, \lambda}\}_{\lambda > 0}$  strongly  $L^2$ -converges, uniformly on compact time intervals, to  $P_t^o$

## Sketch of the proof: Semigroup analysis

5) Introducing  $\theta := \mathbb{E}[\mathfrak{t}(K_1)]$ , one can show that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0, t_*]} \left| \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\lambda}^{-1}(t, k) - \frac{t}{\theta} \right| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

6) Let us consider the corresponding Markov semigroups:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_t^{o, \lambda} u(\gamma) &:= \mathbb{E}[u(\tilde{Z}_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k)), t < \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_{\gamma, \mathfrak{f}}^{\lambda}; \\ P_t^o u(\gamma) &:= \mathbb{E}[u(\zeta^o(t, \gamma)), t < \mathfrak{t}_{\gamma, \mathfrak{f}}]. \end{aligned}$$

The weak convergence of  $\tilde{Z}_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k)$  to  $\zeta^o(t, \gamma) := \eta^o(\theta t, \gamma)$  now follows from:

As  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , the semigroups  $\{\tilde{P}_t^{o, \lambda}\}_{\lambda > 0}$  strongly  $L^2$ -converges, uniformly on compact time intervals, to  $P_t^o$

△  $\tilde{Z}_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma, k)$  is **deterministic** until first scattering  $\rightsquigarrow$  construct a Markov process  $\hat{Z}_{\lambda}^o(t, \gamma)$  which is “close” to it:

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \gamma Z_1^{\lambda}(\gamma, k) < 0 \right) \leq \exp \left\{ - \frac{|\gamma| \lambda^{1/\alpha}}{|S(k)|} \right\},$$

where  $Z_1^{\lambda}(\gamma, k)$  is the position after the first jump of a phonon started in  $\gamma$ .



## Sketch of the proof: Dirichlet forms

7) To show the  $L^2$ -convergence of the semigroups, we rely on the corresponding **Dirichlet forms**:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda[u] &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [u(\gamma) - \tilde{P}_t^o u(\gamma)] u(\gamma) d\gamma \\ \mathcal{E}^o[u] &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [u(\gamma) - P_t^o u(\gamma)] u(\gamma) d\gamma\end{aligned}$$

### Mosco convergence for Dirichlet forms

A family of Dirichlet forms  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  is **M-convergent** to a Dirichlet form  $\mathcal{E}_\infty$ , as  $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$ , if for any  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :

- for any  $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$  weakly convergent to  $u$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , it holds that

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_\lambda[u_\lambda] \geq \mathcal{E}_\infty[u];$$

- there exists  $\{v_\lambda\}_{\lambda>0}$  strongly convergent to  $u$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{E}_\lambda[v_\lambda] \leq \mathcal{E}_\infty[u];$$

**[M94]:** The Dirichlet forms  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda$  *M-converge* to  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$  **if and only if** the associated Markov semigroups strongly  $L^2$ -converge, uniformly on compact intervals.

## Where the scaling index $\alpha$ comes from?

- Without the interface, the f.d.d. convergence of  $Y_\lambda(t, \gamma, k)$  essentially relies on:

$$Y(s, \gamma, k) \approx Y(\mathfrak{T}_n, \gamma, k) = \gamma - \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}_n} \omega'(K(r, k)) dr = \gamma - \sum_{j=1}^n t(K_j) \omega'(K_j) \tau_j, \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{T}_n \leq s < \mathfrak{T}_{n+1};$$

- Let  $\tilde{\pi}(dk)$  be the invariant measure for the frequency chain  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ;

## Where the scaling index $\alpha$ comes from?

- Without the interface, the f.d.d. convergence of  $Y_\lambda(t, \gamma, k)$  essentially relies on:

$$Y(s, \gamma, k) \approx Y(\mathfrak{T}_n, \gamma, k) = \gamma - \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}_n} \omega'(K(r, k)) dr = \gamma - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{t}(K_j) \omega'(K_j) \tau_j, \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{T}_n \leq s < \mathfrak{T}_{n+1};$$

- Let  $\tilde{\pi}(dk)$  be the invariant measure for the frequency chain  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ;
- The type of Central Limit Theorem we can apply depends on the tails of the random variables

$$\tilde{\pi}(|\mathfrak{t}(K_i) \omega'(K_i)| > \lambda) \approx \lambda^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for } \lambda \gg 1.$$

## Where the scaling index $\alpha$ comes from?

- Without the interface, the f.d.d. convergence of  $Y_\lambda(t, y, k)$  essentially relies on:

$$Y(s, y, k) \approx Y(\mathfrak{T}_n, y, k) = y - \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}_n} \omega'(K(r, k)) dr = y - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{t}(K_j) \omega'(K_j) \tau_j, \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{T}_n \leq s < \mathfrak{T}_{n+1};$$

- Let  $\tilde{\pi}(dk)$  be the invariant measure for the frequency chain  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ;
- The type of Central Limit Theorem we can apply depends on the tails of the random variables

$$\tilde{\pi}(|\mathfrak{t}(K_i) \omega'(K_i)| > \lambda) \approx \lambda^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for } \lambda \gg 1.$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  **pinned** case:  $\omega'(k) \approx |k|$  and  $\tilde{\pi}(dk) = R(k)dk \approx |k|^2 dk$ . Thus,  $\alpha = 3 \rightsquigarrow$  **diffusive** limit (finite variance)!

## Where the scaling index $\alpha$ comes from?

- Without the interface, the f.d.d. convergence of  $Y_\lambda(t, y, k)$  essentially relies on:

$$Y(s, y, k) \approx Y(\mathfrak{T}_n, y, k) = y - \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}_n} \omega'(K(r, k)) dr = y - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{t}(K_j) \omega'(K_j) \tau_j, \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{T}_n \leq s < \mathfrak{T}_{n+1};$$

- Let  $\tilde{\pi}(dk)$  be the invariant measure for the frequency chain  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ;
- The type of Central Limit Theorem we can apply depends on the tails of the random variables

$$\tilde{\pi}(|\mathfrak{t}(K_i) \omega'(K_i)| > \lambda) \approx \lambda^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for } \lambda \gg 1.$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  **pinned** case:  $\omega'(k) \approx |k|$  and  $\tilde{\pi}(dk) = R(k)dk \approx |k|^2 dk$ . Thus,  $\alpha = 3 \rightsquigarrow$  **diffusive** limit (finite variance)!

$\rightsquigarrow$  **unpinned** case:  $\omega'(k) \approx O(1)$  and  $\tilde{\pi}(dk) = R(k)dk \approx |k|^2 dk$ . Thus,  $\alpha = 3/2 \rightsquigarrow$  **anomalous diffusive** limit!

## Where the scaling index $\alpha$ comes from?

- Without the interface, the f.d.d. convergence of  $Y_\lambda(t, y, k)$  essentially relies on:

$$Y(s, y, k) \approx Y(\mathfrak{T}_n, y, k) = y - \int_0^{\mathfrak{T}_n} \omega'(K(r, k)) dr = y - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{t}(K_j) \omega'(K_j) \tau_j, \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{T}_n \leq s < \mathfrak{T}_{n+1};$$

- Let  $\tilde{\pi}(dk)$  be the invariant measure for the frequency chain  $\{K_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ;
- The type of Central Limit Theorem we can apply depends on the tails of the random variables

$$\tilde{\pi}(|\mathfrak{t}(K_i) \omega'(K_i)| > \lambda) \approx \lambda^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for } \lambda \gg 1.$$

- $\rightsquigarrow$  **pinned** case:  $\omega'(k) \approx |k|$  and  $\tilde{\pi}(dk) = R(k)dk \approx |k|^2 dk$ . Thus,  $\alpha = 3 \rightsquigarrow$  **diffusive** limit (finite variance)!
- $\rightsquigarrow$  **unpinned** case:  $\omega'(k) \approx O(1)$  and  $\tilde{\pi}(dk) = R(k)dk \approx |k|^2 dk$ . Thus,  $\alpha = 3/2 \rightsquigarrow$  **anomalous diffusive** limit!
- $\rightsquigarrow$  Our **abstract** framework:  $S(k) = \mathfrak{t}(k) \omega'(k) \approx |k|^{-\beta_3}$  and  $\tilde{\pi}(dk) = R_2(k)dk \approx |k|^{\beta_2} dk$ . Thus,

$$\alpha := \frac{1 + \beta_2}{\beta_3}$$

## Some literature on the topic

[BBJKO15]: G. Basile, C. Bernardin, M. Jara, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Thermal conductivity in harmonic lattices with random collisions.*, in *Thermal Transport in Low Dimensions*, Springer, 2015;

[KO21]: T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Thermal Boundaries in Kinetic and Hydrodynamic Limits*, in *Recent Advances in Kinetic Equations and Applications*, Springer, 2021.

### Kinetic limit for stochastically perturbed harmonic chains

[BO05]: C. Bernardin, S. Olla, *Fourier's law for a microscopic model of heat conduction*, J. Stat. Phys., 2005;

[KO20]: T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Kinetic limit for a chain of harmonic oscillators with a point Langevin thermostat*, J. Funct. Anal., 2020;

### Diffusive limit for linear phonon Boltzmann models

[BKO19]: G. Basile, T. Komorowski, S. Olla, *Diffusion limit for a kinetic equation with a thermostatted interface*, Kinet. Relat. Models, 2019;

[KOR20]: T. Komorowski, S. Olla, L. Ryzhik, *Fractional diffusion limit for a kinetic equation with an interface*, Ann. Probab., 2020;

[BKM23]: K. Bogdan, T. Komorowski, L. Marino, *Anomalous diffusion limit for a kinetic equation with a thermostatted interface*, Prob. Th. Rel. Fields, 2023.

Thank you for your attention!