

Weak error for Numerical schemes  
associated with SDEs with singular drifts  
(works with M. Fitoussi, E. Issoglio, B. Jourdain, V. Konakov)

Irregular Stochastic Analysis, Cortona, June 26<sup>th</sup> 2025

S. Menozzi

Université d'Evry Val d'Essonne-Paris Saclay

June 26, 2025

# Classical case

## Brownian driven SDE with smooth coefficients

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dW_s, \quad s \in [0, T], \quad (\text{SDE}_{Smooth})$$

- Usual setting  $b, \sigma$  Lipschitz in space  $\rightsquigarrow$  strong well-posedness.

### (Most) Natural approximation: the Euler scheme

- Time step  $h = T/N, N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $t_i := ih, \forall s \in [t_i, t_{i+1}), \tau_s^h := t_i$ .

$$X_t^h = x + \int_0^t b(\tau_s^h, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\tau_s^h, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) dW_s, \quad s \in [0, T], \quad (\text{Euler}_{Smooth}^h)$$

**Strong Error:** BDG-Gronwall (and some time regularity) ...

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[ \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s - X_s^h|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_{p, T} h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (\mathbb{E}[|W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}} = \bar{C}_p h^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

# Weak error

- Fix  $T > 0$ , for "some" test function  $\varphi$ , for  $X, X^h$  as in  $(SDE_{Smooth})$ ,  $(Euler_{Smooth}^h)$  respectively:

$$\mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi) = \mathbb{E}_x[\varphi(X_T^h)] - \mathbb{E}_x[\varphi(X_T)].$$

↝ Rates and assumptions:

$$\mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi) = O(h), \text{ or } \mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi) = Ch + O(h^2). \quad (W_{Smooth})$$

## Two main types of assumptions lead to that:

- ➊ Smoothness:  $\varphi, b, \sigma$  very regular (no non-degeneracy needed), stochastic flow techniques to show **underlying Kolmogorov PDE is smooth** [TT90].
  - ➋ Non-degeneracy:  $b, \sigma$  smooth and (hypo)-ellipticity: " $\varphi = \delta_y$ " possible in  $(W_{Smooth})$ . Huge literature (see e.g. [KM02], [BT96]...).
- ↝ Rather robust approach (in particular to the noise), see [KM11] for stable driven SDEs.

# Weak error analysis: ingredients

- Key role of the associated **Kolmogorov PDE**:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s u(s, y) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(a(s, y) D_x^2 u(s, y)) + b(s, y) \cdot \nabla u(s, y) = 0, & (s, y) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, y) = \varphi(y), & y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (\text{FK})$$

~ Provided (FK) well posed and smooth enough:

$$\mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_T^h)] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_T)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[u(t_{k+1}, X_{t_{k+1}}^h) - u(t_k, X_{t_k}^h)] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} ds \left[ \left( \partial_s + b(s, X_s^h) \cdot \nabla + \frac{1}{2} a(s, X_s^h) : D^2 \right) u(s, X_s^h) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (b(t_k, X_{t_k}^h) - b(s, X_s^h)) \cdot \nabla u(s, X_s^h) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}((a(t_k, X_{t_k}^h) - a(s, X_s^h)) D^2 u(s, X_s^h)) \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T ds (b(\tau_s^h, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) - b(s, X_s^h)) \cdot \nabla u(s, X_s^h) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}((a(\tau_s^h, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) - a(s, X_s^h)) D^2 u(s, X_s^h)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

# Weak error analysis continued

$$\mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_T^h)] - \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_T)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T ds (b(\tau_s^h, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) - b(s, X_s^h)) \cdot \nabla u(s, X_s^h) + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}((a(\tau_s^h, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) - a(s, X_s^h)) D^2 u(s, X_s^h)) \right].$$

- If  $b$  and  $u$  smooth. Iterating Itô's formula:

$$\mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi, h) = O(h),$$

and ( $W_{Smooth}$ ) (depending on the available smoothness).

- If  $b \in C^{\gamma/2, \gamma}$ ,  $a \in C^{\gamma/2, \gamma}$ ,  $\varphi \in C^{2+\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , **parabolic theory** ([Fri64], [LSU68]) gives that  $u$  is smooth (gradient bounded).

$$|\mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi)|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (\|\nabla u\|_\infty \|b\|_{C^{\frac{\gamma}{2}, \gamma}} + \|D^2 u\|_\infty \|a\|_{C^{\frac{\gamma}{2}, \gamma}}) \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T ds ((s - \tau_s^h)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} + |X_{\tau_s^h}^h - X_s^h|^\gamma) \right] \\ &\leq Ch^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}, \quad C := C(T, b, \sigma, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

↔ Mikulevicius and Platen [MP91] (see also Konakov and M. [KM17], Frikha [Fri18] for the densities).

# Weak error continued: towards roughness...

## Some question and remarks

- $h^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \simeq \mathbb{E}[|W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}|^\gamma] \rightsquigarrow$  more like a strong rate...
- **Sharp rates?** It depends...
  - Non trivial diffusion coefficients: seems to naturally appear (see [KM17] and Le and Ling [LL21] in the strong case)
  - Constant diffusion case, much better bounds can be obtained.

$$\mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T ds (b(\tau_s^h, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) - b(s, X_s^h)) \cdot \nabla u(s, X_s^h) \right].$$

- What if no smoothness of the coefficient?  $\rightsquigarrow$  **exploit the regularity of the law!**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_w^h(x, b, \sigma, T, \varphi) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T ds (b_h(U_{\lfloor s/h \rfloor}, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) - b(s, X_s^h)) \cdot \nabla u(s, X_s^h) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T ds b_h(U_{\lfloor s/h \rfloor}, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) \cdot (\nabla u(s, X_s^h) - \nabla u(U_{\lfloor s/h \rfloor}, X_{\tau_s^h}^h)) \right] \\ &\quad + \int_0^T ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\mu_{\tau_s^h}^h - \mu_s^h](x, dz) b_h(s, z) \cdot \nabla u(s, z) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T ds \mu_s^h(x, dz) (b_h(s, z) - b(s, z)) \cdot \nabla u(s, z). \end{aligned}$$

## Weak error still continued

Above:

- $U_i \stackrel{\text{(law)}}{=} \mathcal{U}([t_i, t_{i+1}))$   $\rightsquigarrow$  Uniform independent Random variables (when no time regularity).
- $b_h$  approximation/truncation of the drift (in the  $L^q - L^p$  case or Besov setting).

## Weak error continued (at last)

- Take formally  $\varphi = \delta_y$ , Dirac mass at fixed point  $y$ .  
One gets from previous expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Gamma^h(0, x, T, y) - \Gamma(0, x, T, y) \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T ds b_h(U_{\lfloor s/h \rfloor}, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) \cdot (\nabla_2 \Gamma(s, X_s^h, T, y) - \nabla_2 \Gamma(U_{\lfloor s/h \rfloor}, X_{\tau_s^h}^h, T, y)) \right] \\
 &+ \int_0^T ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\Gamma^h(0, x, \tau_s^h, z) - \Gamma^h(0, x, s, z)] b_h(s, z) \cdot \nabla_z \Gamma(s, z, T, y) dz \\
 &+ \int_0^T ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma^h(0, x, s, z) (b_h(s, z) - b(s, z)) \cdot \nabla_z \Gamma(s, z, T, y) dz.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Sensitivity of the gradient of the diffusion density in the backward space variable
- Sensitivity of the Euler scheme density in the forward time variable
- Approximation/Truncation error for the drift
- Idea already used by Bencheikh and Jourdain [BJ20],  $b \in L^\infty$ .  
Total variation control  $\rightsquigarrow h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Pointwise control specified.
- Not the expansion used for the proof. Exponents are slightly worse...

# SDEs handled

## Model:

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + Z_t, \quad s \in [0, T], \quad (\text{E})$$

where  $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued rotationally invariant  $\alpha$ -stable process  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ .

**Assumptions on the drift:  $\beta$  regularity parameter**

- Hölder case:  $b \in L^\infty([0, T], C^\beta)$ ,  $\beta > 0$ .  
Weak well-posedness holds,  
Strong if  $\beta > 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$  see Priola [Pri12] or Chen *et al.* [CZZ21])
- Lebesgue Case  $b \in L^q([0, T], L^\rho(\mathbb{R}^d))$ ,  $\beta = 0$ .

$$\frac{d}{\rho} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \alpha - 1. \quad (\text{KR}_\alpha)$$

- $(\text{KR}_\alpha)$  guarantees weak uniqueness (also strong if  $\alpha = 2$ , see [KR05]), additional conditions needed if  $\alpha < 2$ , see Zhang *et al.*
- Critical Brownian case  $\frac{d}{\rho} + \frac{2}{q} = 1$  recently addressed by Krylov (homogeneous case [Kry20]) and Röckner and Zhao (inhomogeneous case, [RZ21]).

# A primer on the thresholds in the $(KR_\alpha)$ condition and generalization

- Intuition about  $(KR_\alpha) \rightsquigarrow$  Integrability on  $b$  needed for

$$\nabla G^\alpha b(t, x) = \int_t^T ds \nabla P_{s-t}^\alpha b(s, x) = \int_t^T ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla p_{s-t}^\alpha(x-y) b(s, y) dy,$$

to be bounded, where for  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ ,

$$|\nabla p_{s-t}^\alpha(z)| \leq \frac{C}{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{d}{\alpha}}} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{|z|}{(s-t)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}\right)^{d+\alpha}}, \quad p_{s-t}^\alpha(z) = g(s-t, z), \quad \alpha = 2.$$

# A primer on the thresholds for distributional drifts

- Besov case:  $b \in L^q([0, T], B_{\rho, r}^\beta)$ ,  $\beta < 0$ .

$$-2\beta + \frac{d}{\rho} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \alpha - 1.$$

- What is the meaning of the SDE in that case? (Virtual Solution [FIR17], Martingale Problem [DD16], [CdRM22], ...)
- Continuity when  $\beta = 0$  but why  $-2\beta$ ? Paraproduct heuristics:

$$u(t, x) = \int_t^T ds \left( P_{s-t} f(s, x) + P_{s-t} (\underbrace{b \cdot \nabla u}_{\text{meaning?}})(s, x) \right)$$

- Set  $\rho = q = \infty$ , for  $b \cdot \nabla u$  to exist as a distribution, condition needed:

$$\underbrace{\beta}_{\text{regularity of the drift}} + \underbrace{(\beta + \alpha - 1)}_{\text{regularity of } \nabla u} > 0 \iff -2\beta < \alpha - 1.$$

## Besov drifts continued

- Besov case:  $b \in L^q([0, T], B_{\rho, r}^\beta)$ ,  $\beta < 0$ .

$$-2\beta + \frac{d}{\rho} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \alpha - 1.$$

↪ What about the dynamics? Reinforcing conditions to

$$-2\beta + \frac{2d}{\rho} + \frac{2\alpha}{q} < \alpha - 1.$$

Then (see [DD16], [CdRM22]),

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \mathfrak{b}(s, X_s, ds) + Z_t, \quad (\text{Drift}_D)$$

where for all  $(s, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $h > 0$ ,

$$\mathfrak{b}(s, z, h) := \int_s^{s+h} \int b(u, y) p_\alpha(u-s, z-y) dy du = \int_s^{s+h} P_{u-s}^\alpha b(u, z) du, \quad (\text{Drift}_{\text{loc}})$$

- Drift is a **Dirichlet process** built as a **Young integral** ↪ **provides a natural approximation scheme!**
- Representation could be helpful even for strong error (to be seen...)

# Discretization scheme for (E): preliminary steps

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + Z_t.$$

**Time step:**  $h = T/n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(U_i)_{i \geq 0}$  i.i.d.,  $U_i \stackrel{\text{(law)}}{=} \mathcal{U}([t_i, t_{i+1}])$ .

Time randomization: no expected smoothing effect in time.

- Hölder case:

$$X_t^h = x + \int_0^t b(U_{\lfloor \frac{\tau_s^h}{h} \rfloor}, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) ds + Z_t. \quad (\text{Euler}_{\text{Hölder}}^h)$$

- Lebesgue case: **Regularity index from  $(KR_\alpha)$ ,**
  - **Cut-off the drift: Scale related cut-off:**

$$b_h(t, y) = \mathbf{1}_{\{t \geq h, |b(t, y)| > 0\}} \frac{|b(t, y)| \wedge (Bh^{-1+\frac{1}{\alpha}})}{|b(t, y)|} b(t, y), \quad (t, y) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

$$X_t^h = x + \int_0^t b_h(U_{\lfloor \frac{\tau_s^h}{h} \rfloor}, X_{\tau_s^h}^h) ds + Z_t. \quad (\text{Euler}_{L^q-L^\rho}^h)$$

Allows that the drift does not dominate the magnitude of the noise.

## Schemes continued; Besov drifts

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s, ds) + Z_t.$$

- Approximation scheme:

$$X_{t_{i+1}}^h = X_{t_i}^h + b(t_i, X_{t_i}^h, h) + Z_{t_{i+1}} - Z_{t_i}. \quad (\text{Euler}_{\text{Besov}}^h)$$

Define

$$b_h(s, z) := P_{s-\tau_s^h}^\alpha b(s, z) \rightarrow b(t_i, X_{t_i}^h, h) = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} b_h(s, z) ds.$$

Then, extend the dynamics of the scheme in continuous time as follows:

$$X_t^h = X_{\tau_t^h}^h + b(\tau_t^h, X_{\tau_t^h}^h, t - \tau_t^h) + Z_t - Z_{\tau_t^h} = X_{\tau_t^h}^h + \int_{\tau_t^h}^t b_h(s, X_{\tau_t^h}^h) ds + Z_t - Z_{\tau_t^h}.$$

# Main Convergence result

- Let  $\Gamma, \Gamma^h$  denote the densities of the corresponding schemes.
- Let  $\bar{p}_\alpha$  stand for an upper bound of the density of the driving noise (up to variance for  $\alpha = 2$ ).

## Theorem 3.1 (Convergence Rates)

- Hölder case (Fitoussi, M., SPA, 2025):  $b \in L^\infty([0, T], C^\beta)$ ,  $\beta > 0$

$$|\Gamma^h(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma(0, x, t, y)| \leq Ch^{\frac{\alpha-1+\beta}{\alpha}} \bar{p}_\alpha(t, y-x)$$

- Lebesgue case (Jourdain, M., AAP, 2024, Fitoussi, Jourdain, M., ArXiV, 2024). Under (KR $_\alpha$ ), i.e.  $b \in L^q - L^\rho$ ,  $\frac{\alpha}{q} + \frac{d}{\rho} < \alpha - 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ :

$$|\Gamma^h(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma(0, x, t, y)| \leq C_c h^{\frac{\alpha-1-\frac{d}{\rho}-\frac{\alpha}{q}}{\alpha}} \bar{p}_\alpha(t, y-x).$$

- Besov case (Fitoussi, Issoglio, M., 2025, on ArXiV soon!).  $b \in L^q([0, T], B_{\rho,r}^\beta)$ ,  $-2\beta + \frac{d}{\rho} + \frac{\alpha}{q} < \alpha - 1$ ,  $\beta < 0$ :

$$|\Gamma^h(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma(0, x, t, y)| \leq C_c h^{\frac{\alpha-1-\frac{d}{\rho}-\frac{\alpha}{q}+2\beta}{\alpha}} \bar{p}_\alpha(t, y-x).$$

# Some comments on the Main Convergence result

- Continuity in the convergence rate with respect to **Gap to singularity**: margin in the extended Krylov and Röckner type criteria for weak uniqueness.
- In the Besov case no need of the reinforced condition (for the limit in the Young integral, should appear for convergence of processes, we stick to marginals).

# Discretization scheme: first estimates

- **Density exists for the scheme(s).** Problem to derive **non exploding stable bounds.**

**Proposition 3.2** (Density estimates for the Euler scheme)

*Duhamel representation :*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma^h(t_k, x, t, y) \\ &= p_\alpha(t - t_k, y - x) - \int_{t_k}^t \mathbb{E} \left[ b_h(U_{\lfloor \frac{r}{h} \rfloor}, X_{\tau_r^h}^h) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - r, y - X_r^h) \right] dr. \end{aligned} \quad (\mathbf{D}^h)$$

$\forall c > 1, \exists C$  not depending on  $h = \frac{T}{n}$  s.t.  $\forall k \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket, t \in (t_k, T], x, y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d,$

$$C^{-1} \bar{p}_\alpha(t - t_k, y - x) \leq \Gamma^h(t_k, x, t, y) \leq C \bar{p}_\alpha(t - t_k, y - x), \quad (\mathbf{S}_{HK}^h)$$

and if  $\gamma = \alpha - 1 - (-2\beta \mathbf{1}_{\beta < 0} - \beta \mathbf{1}_{\beta \geq 0} + \frac{d}{\rho} + \frac{\alpha}{q}),$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Gamma^h(t_k, x, t, y') - \Gamma^h(t_k, x, t, y)| \\ & \leq C \frac{|y - y'|^\gamma \wedge (t - t_k)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}}{(t - t_k)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha}}} (\bar{p}_\alpha(t - t_k, y - x) + \bar{p}_\alpha(t - t_k, y' - x)). \end{aligned} \quad (\mathbf{H}_{HK}^h)$$

# Discretization scheme for (E): yet additional estimates

- Hölder modulus in (forward) time for the Euler scheme.

For all  $0 \leq k < \ell < n$ ,  $t \in [t_\ell, t_{\ell+1}]$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$|\Gamma^h(t_k, x, t, y) - \Gamma^h(t_k, x, t_\ell, y)| \leq C \frac{(t - t_\ell)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}}{(t_\ell - t_k)^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}} \bar{p}_\alpha(t - t_k, y - x). \quad (3.1)$$

# Heat kernel estimates on (E) from convergence in law arguments.

- From the previous estimates letting  $h$  go to zero gives (identifying the limit):

## Proposition 3.3 (Heat kernel estimates for the SDE)

*Under (KR $\alpha$ ), if  $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  denote the solution to the SDE (E),*

*$\forall t \in (0, T]$ ,  $X_t$  admits a density  $y \rightarrow \Gamma(0, x, t, y)$  w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure,*

*$\forall c > 1$ ,  $\exists C \in [1, \infty)$  s.t.  $\forall t \in (0, T]$ ,  $x, y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,*

$$C^{-1} \bar{p}_\alpha(t, y - x) \leq \Gamma(0, x, t, y) \leq C \bar{p}_\alpha(t, y - x) \quad (\text{S}_{HK})$$

and

$$|\Gamma(0, x, t, y) - \Gamma(0, x, t, y')| \leq C \frac{|y - y'|^\gamma \wedge t^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}}{t^{\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}}} \left( \bar{p}_\alpha(t, y - x) + \bar{p}_\alpha(t, y' - x) \right). \quad (\text{H}_{HK})$$

*Duhamel representation holds:*  $\forall t \in (0, T]$ ,  $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2$ :

$$\Gamma(0, x, t, y) = p_\alpha(t, y - x) - \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[b(r, X_r) \cdot \nabla_y p_\alpha(t - r, y - X_r)] dr. \quad (\text{D})$$

- And even more estimates hold for this density ... (gradient w.r.t. backward variable, Hölder moduli of the gradient, similar approach than M., Pesce, Zhang [MPZ21]).

# Heat kernel estimates for the diffusion Lebesgue case (Time permitting)

- Suppose  $q < +\infty$ ,  $\rho < +\infty$ . Consider for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$X_t^\varepsilon = x + Z_t - Z_s + \int_s^t b_\varepsilon(r, X_r^\varepsilon) dr, \quad t \in [s, T]. \quad (\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon)$$

where

$$\|b_\varepsilon - b\|_{L^q - L^\rho} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{} 0.$$

- Well known that  $X_t^\varepsilon$  admits a density  $\Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x; t, \cdot)$  for  $t > s$ .  
 $\forall c > 1, \exists C_\varepsilon$ , s.t.  $\forall (t, y) \in (s, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|\nabla^\zeta \Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x, t, y)| \leq \frac{C_\varepsilon}{(t-s)^{\frac{|\zeta|}{2}}} \bar{p}_\alpha(t-s, y-x). \quad (\text{HK}_{\varepsilon, \zeta})$$

- Goal:** prove  $\exists C$ , independent from  $\varepsilon$  s.t.  $\forall (t, y) \in (s, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|\nabla^\zeta \Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x, t, y)| \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{|\zeta|}{2}}} \bar{p}_\alpha(t-s, y-x). \quad (\text{HK}_\varepsilon)$$

# Elements of proof for the Heat Kernel bounds

$$\Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x, t, y)$$

$$= p_\alpha(t-s, y-x) + \int_s^t du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x, u, z) b_\varepsilon(u, z) \cdot \nabla_z p_\alpha(t-u, y-z) dz. \quad (\text{D}_\varepsilon)$$

We now fix  $s \in [0, T]$ ,  $c > 1$  and introduce for all  $u \in (s, T]$ ,

$$f_{\varepsilon, s}(u) := \sup_{(x,z) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \frac{\Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x, u, z)}{\bar{p}_\alpha(u-s, x-z)}. \quad (\text{F}_\varepsilon)$$

From  $(\text{HK}_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon})$ ,

$$\forall u \in (s, T], f_{\varepsilon, s}(u) \leq C_{\varepsilon, T, c} < +\infty.$$

allows to apply a *Gronwall type argument*.

From  $(\text{D}_\varepsilon)$ ,  $(\text{F}_\varepsilon)$  and usual Gaussian/stable controls:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x, t, y)}{\bar{p}_\alpha(t-s, y-x)} &\leq \frac{p_\alpha(t-s, y-x)}{\bar{p}_\alpha(t-s, y-x)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\bar{p}_\alpha(t-s, y-x)} \int_s^t du f_{\varepsilon, s}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{p}_\alpha(u-s, z-x) |b_\varepsilon(u, z)| \frac{C}{(t-u)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \bar{p}_\alpha(t-u, y-z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

- Gaussian/stable calculus!

## Elements of proof for the Heat Kernel bounds III

- **Gronwall-Volterra type Lemma**  $\exists \textcolor{red}{C}_1 := C_1(\rho, q, d, b, T, c) < \infty$  not depending on  $(\varepsilon, s)$  s.t.

$$|\Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x, t, y)| \leq \textcolor{red}{C}_1 \bar{p}_\alpha(t - s, y - x).$$

$\rightsquigarrow (\text{HK}_\varepsilon)$  holds for  $\zeta = 0$ !

- Estimation of the gradient. Introduce:

$$h_{\varepsilon, s}(u) := \sup_{(x, z) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^2} \frac{|\nabla_x \Gamma_\varepsilon(s, x, u, z)|(u - s)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\bar{p}_\alpha(u - s, x - z)}. \quad (\text{G}_\varepsilon)$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  Similar analysis up to additional singularity.

- Compactness arguments+identification of the limit give the bound.

# Possible extensions

- **Multiplicative noise:**  $dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dZ_t$ .
  - $\sigma(t, x) = \sigma(x)$  and sigma u.e., bounded and Lipschitz, should work for homogeneity reasons (see also Zhang [Zha11] in for the continuous case:  $W^{1,p}$  should work as well).
  - difficulty to handle mere measurability in time (appears in density transitions).

# Related Results

- Strong Error (K. Lê and C. Ling, [LL21]): under  $(KR_\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ .

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t - \tilde{X}_t^h|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_p h^{\frac{1}{2}} |\ln(h)|.$$

- Stochastic sewing arguments
- Pathwise analysis (no gradient involved)
- Weak error with test functions
  - In connection with weak error, Z. Hao, K. Le, C. Ling (ArXiV, 2024), in the  $L^\infty - L^p$  setting for tamed Euler scheme (i.e. similar than Besov case) obtain weak rate of order  $h^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for a bounded test function (important).
- Links between weak and strong, benefit from better smoothness estimates on test functions.

- S. Albeverio, Y. Kondratiev, and M. Röckner. Strong Feller properties for distorted Brownian motion and applications to finite particle systems with singular interactions. In *Finite and infinite dimensional analysis in honor of Leonard Gross (New Orleans, LA, 2001)*, volume 317 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 15–35. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- O. Bencheikh and B. Jourdain. Convergence in total variation of the euler-maruyama scheme applied to diffusion processes with measurable drift coefficient and additive noise. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 60(4):1701–1740, 2020.
- V. Bally and D. Talay. The law of the Euler scheme for stochastic differential equations: I. Convergence rate of the distribution function. *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields*, 104(1):43–60, 1996.
- P.E. Chaudru de Raynal and S. Menozzi. On multidimensional stable-driven stochastic differential equations with Besov drift. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 27:Paper No. 163, 52, 2022.
- Z.Q. Chen, X. Zhang, and G. Zhao. Well-posedness of supercritical SDE driven by Lévy processes with irregular drifts. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 374(11):7621–7655, 2021.
- F. Delarue and R. Diel. Rough paths and 1d SDE with a time dependent distributional drift: application to polymers. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 165(1-2):1–63, 2016.
- F. Flandoli, E. Issoglio, and F. Russo. Multidimensional stochastic differential equations with distributional drift. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(3):1665–1688, 2017.

- A. Friedman. *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*. Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- N. Frikha. On the weak approximation of a skew diffusion by an euler-type scheme. *Bernoulli*, 24–3:1653–1691, 2018.
- P.E. Jabin and Z. Wang. Quantitative estimates of propagation of chaos for stochastic systems with  $W^{-1,\infty}$  kernels. *Invent. Math.*, 214(1):523–591, 2018.
- V. Konakov and E. Mammen. Edgeworth type expansions for euler schemes for stochastic differential equations. *Monte Carlo Methods Appl.*, 8–3:271–285, 2002.
- V. Konakov and S. Menozzi. Weak error for stable driven stochastic differential equations: Expansion of the densities. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 24–2:454–478, 2011.
- V. Konakov and S. Menozzi. Weak error for the euler scheme approximation of diffusions with non-smooth coefficients. *Electronic Journal of Probability*, 22–46:47p., 2017.
- N. Krylov and M. Röckner. Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift. *Prob. Theory Rel. Fields*, 131:154–196, 2005.
- N. Krylov. On strong solutions of itô's equations with  $a \in w_d^1$  and  $b \in l^d$ . *arXiv:2007.06040*, 2020.
- K. Lê and C. Ling. Taming singular stochastic differential equations: A numerical method. *arXiv:2110.01343*, 2021.
- O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, and N.N. Ural'ceva. *Linear and quasi-linear equations of parabolic type*. Vol.23 Trans. Math. Monog., AMS, Providence, 1968.

- R. Mikulevičius and E. Platen. Rate of convergence of the euler approximation for diffusion processes. *Math. Nachr.*, 151:233–239, 1991.
- S. Menozzi, A. Pesce, and X. Zhang. Density and gradient estimates for non degenerate Brownian SDEs with unbounded measurable drift. *J. Differential Equations*, 272:330–369, 2021.
- E. Priola. Pathwise uniqueness for singular SDEs driven by stable processes. *Osaka J. Math.*, 49– 2:421–447, 2012.
- M. Röckner and G. Zhao. Sdes with critical time dependent drifts: strong solutions. *arXiv:2103.05803*, 2021.
- D. Talay and L. Tubaro. Expansion of the global error for numerical schemes solving stochastic differential equations. *Stoch. Anal. and App.*, 8-4:94–120, 1990.
- X. Zhang. Stochastic homeomorphism flows of SDEs with singular drifts and Sobolev diffusion coefficients. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 16– 38:1096–1116, 2011.
- X. Zhang and G. Zhao. Stochastic Lagrangian path for Leray's solutions of 3D Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 381(2):491–525, 2021.